FULL PAPER

Use of Allyl, 2-Tetrahydrofuryl, and 2-Tetrahydropyranyl Ethers as Useful C_3 -, C_4 -, and C_5 -Carbon Sources: Palladium-Catalyzed Allylation of Aldehydes

Masamichi Shimizu,^[a] Masanari Kimura,^[a] and Yoshinao Tamaru^{*[b]}

Abstract: Palladium–diethylzinc or palladium–triethylborane catalytically promotes self-allylation of 2-(allyloxy)tetrahydrofurans, 2-(allyloxy)tetrahydropyrans, and their hydroxy derivatives on the rings (ribose, glucose, mannose, deoxyribose, deoxyglucose). All the reactions proceed at room temperature and provide polyhydroxyl products, sharing a structural motif of a homoallyl alcohol, in good to excellent yields with high levels of stereoselectivity. Useful C_3 -unit elongation, which

Keywords: allyl compounds and stereoserectivity associated with

lylation of aldehydes are discussed. allylation · asymmetric catalysis · palladium

makes the best use of an allyl ether as a protecting group and a nucleophilic allylation agent, is demonstrated. Mechanisms for the umpolung reaction (of an allyl ether into an allylic anion) and stereoselectivity associated with al-

Introduction

2-Tetrahydrofuryl (2-THF) and 2-tetrahydropyranyl (2- THP) ethers^[1] as well as allyl ethers^[1,2] have been utilized most widely as the useful protecting groups of a hydroxy functionality. They are usually removed after the expected transformations having been completed. Needless to say, from practical, economical, and environmental view points, it is beneficial if they could be utilized not only as protecting groups, but also as carbon sources of target molecules.

Recently, we and others have developed efficient methods that enable the direct use of allyl alcohols as allyl anion equivalents under the catalysis of palladium.[3] The method which uses a $Pd/Et₂Zn$ reaction system relies on the ability of a palladium(0) species to undergo oxidative addition to the $C-O$ bond of an allyl alcohol and also the capability of a π -allylpalladium species, thus formed, to undergo transmetallation with Et_2Zn giving rise to an allylzinc species. Diethylzinc serves not only as a Lewis acid in the former process

[b] Prof. Y. Tamaru Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering Nagasaki University, 1-14 Bunkyo Nagasaki 852-8521 (Japan) Fax: (+81) 95-819-2677 E-mail: tamaru@net.nagasaki-ac.jp

to render a hydroxy group a better leaving group, but also as a reducing agent in the latter process, converting a cationic π -allylpalladium species into an anionic allylzinc species

and Pd^0 (Umpolung).^[4] The same method has been successfully applied to the activation of allyl ethers as allyl anion equivalents $[Eq. (1)]^{5}$. Thus, the combination of a catalytic amount of $Pd(OAc)$, and a stoichiometric amount of $Et₂Zn$ has nicely promoted the conversion of 2-(allyloxy)tetrahydropyrans (1) and 2-(allyloxy)tetrahydrofurans (3) into 7-octen-1,5-diols (2) and 6 hepten-1,4-diols (4), respectively. Thus, the catalytic system has enabled allyl, 2-tetrahydrofuryl and 2-tetrahydropyranyl ethers to serve as C_3 -, C_4 -, and C_5 -carbon sources, respectively. During this process, allyl ethers 1 or 3 might split into two fragments: allylzincs and ω -hydroxyaldehydes, which combine to give 2 or 4, respectively.

This paper is a full account of our preliminary communication^[5] and discloses a full scope of the reactivity, regioselectivity, and stereoselectivity associated with the unique self-allylation of 1 and 3 catalyzed by $Pd/Et₂Zn$. The method has been extended to 2-(allyloxy)-THF $(6, 12 \text{ and } 14)$ and 2-

(allyloxy)-THP derivatives (8 and 10) bearing hydroxy substituents on their five- and six-membered skeletons. A new useful synthetic method that utilizes allyl alcohols both as protecting groups and as allylating agents is demonstrated. Some characteristic features of the catalytic self-allylation promoted by Pd/Et₃B, instead of Pd/Et₂Zn, are also discussed.

Results and Discussion

Self-allylation of 2-(allyloxy)tetrahydropyrans (1) and 2-(allyloxy)tetrahydrofurans (3) promoted by $\mathbf{Pd}^0\mathbf{/Et_{2}Zn}$ or $\mathbf{Pd}^0\mathbf{/}$ Et₃B: In the past few years, Et_2Zn and Et_3B , which are themselves feeble nucleophiles toward addition reaction to carbonyl compounds, have been recognized to play unique roles in the transition-metal catalyzed $C-C$ bond formation reactions between carbonyl compounds and non-activated alkenes and alkynes. For example, under nickel catalysis,^[6] $Et₂Zn$ and $Et₃B$ serve as a formal hydride donor or an alkyl donor and activate dienes and alkynes as nucleophiles to add to carbonyl compounds^[7] and epoxides.^[8]

Under palladium catalysis, $Et₂Zn$ promotes allyl alcohols to undergo nucleophilic allylation of a wide range of carbonyl compounds, encompassing aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes as well as the less reactive ketones. On the other hand, $Et₃B$ is only capable of promoting the allylation of *aromatic* aldehydes; $[9]$ with this promoter, enolizable aliphatic aldehydes undergo both nucleophilic allylation at the carbonyl carbon (C1 allylation) and electrophilic allylation at the α -position of carbonyl groups (C_a allylation).^[10] For example, as illustrated in Table 1, the reaction of cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde and cinnamyl alcohol provides a mixture of 1-cyclohexyl-2-phenyl-3-buten-1-ol (C1 allylation) and 1- $(trans-cinnamyl)$ cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde (C α allylation) in comparable amounts. The product distributions change dramatically depending on additives and the kinds of phosphane ligands (Table 1). Selective α -allylation of aliphatic aldehydes has been achieved in the presence of additives, $LiCl/Et₃N.^[10]$

Interestingly, in sharp contrast to these, under the catalysis of Pd⁰/Et₃B, 5-hydroxypentanal and 4-hydroxybutanal, aliphatic aldehydes derived from 1 and 3, respectively

Table 1. Allylation of cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde with cinnamyl alcohol promoted by Pd/Et₃B catalytic system.

 $[Eq. (2)]$, behave differently from ordinary enolizable aliphatic aldehydes and selectively undergo C1 allylation.

$$
1 \text{ or } 3 \xrightarrow{\text{cat. Pd}^0} \begin{bmatrix} \text{BEt}_2 & \text{Et}_3 \\ \text{DEt}_3 & \text{OH} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{Bt}_1 & \text{Bt}_2 \\ \text{OH} & \text{Ot}_1 \\ \text{H}_2 & \text{Ot}_2 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow 2 \text{ or } 4 \tag{2}
$$

Table 2 outlines the scope of self-allylation of 1 and 3 under Pd/Et_3B catalysis, the conditions being identical to those applied in run 2, Table 1. Notably, all the reactions were clean and provided diols 2 and 4 in good yields. Neither aldol condensation products nor Ca -allylation products were detected at all.

The success of the self-allylation of 1 and 3 may be primarily attributed to a unique mechanism that the reaction follows. Under ordinary C1-allylation conditions, for example, Table 1, an aldehyde is exposed to reagents all the time and is susceptible to not only C1 allylation, but also Ca allylation (and aldol and other reactions as well via enolization). On the other hand, according to the mechanism shown in Equation (2), the generation of ω -hydroxyaldehyde synchronizes with the generation of allylborane. This electrophile– nucleophile pair might be generated in a small quantity (never larger than the amount of the catalyst), and each component would be present in close proximity to each other so as to react as soon as formed.

In Scheme 1 is illustrated a plausible catalytic cycle for the generation of allylborane and w-hydroxyaldehyde. A palladium(0) species undergoes oxidative addition upon the allyl–oxygen ether bond activated by coordination with Et₃B. A π -allyl-palladium species, thus formed, might undergo allyl–ethyl exchange with B_{13} to give an ethyl–palladium species, which undergoes β -H elimination regenerating a palladium(0) species. During the final step are also formed ethylene and an allyl(diethyl)borane-ω-hydroxyaldehyde complex, an active species for the self-allylation [Eq. (2)].

For the present self-allylation, both Ph_3P and nBu_3P work with similar efficiency. For example, by the use of $Ph₃P$ instead of nBu_3P , 2a was obtained in 80% yield (25°C, 30 h, c.f., run 1, Table 2). For clarity, Table 2 lists only the results obtained by the use of nBu_3P .

Table 2 also compiles the results obtained using $Et₂Zn$ as a promoter. As was mentioned in our original paper, $[5]$ the reaction medium optimized is rather unique, which consists of non-polar solvents: toluene $(0.5-5.0$ mL) and *n*-hexane $(3.6 \text{ mL}, \text{ the solvent of Et}_2 \text{Zn})$ for 1 mmol scale experiments. In general, there seemed to be a general trend that the lower the polarity of the solvents, the better the yields of 2 or 4 (see below). Accordingly, the amount of toluene was minimized so as to make the reaction mixture homogeneous at the start at 0° C. The progress of the reaction is indicated visually by the increasing amount of white copious precipitate due to zinc alkoxides (see Experimental Section).

Generally, Et₂Zn promotes the allylation much faster and provides 2 or 4 in better yields within shorter periods of re-

Allylation of Aldehydes **Ally and Aldehydes FULL PAPER**

Run	1 or 3	Product		. . $\check{}$ $\mathrm{Et}_3\mathrm{B}^{[\mathrm{a}]}$		$\overline{\mathrm{Et}_2\mathrm{Zn}^{[\mathrm{b}]}}$
			t [h]	Yield [anti,syn] (%)	t [h]	Yield [anti,syn] (%)
$\mathbf{1}$	O_{\sim} .O. 1a	ŌН OH 2a	$22\,$	86	$\sqrt{2}$	86
$\sqrt{2}$	1b	OH O _H $\frac{1}{2}$ 2b	$\sqrt{48}$	82 [4:1]	$\,1\,$	94 [2:1]
3	$1c$	2 _b	$23\,$	$67\;[4:1]$	$\,1\,$	94 [2:1]
$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$1d \overrightarrow{P}h$	OH \overline{C} $\frac{1}{P}h$ 2c	$60^{\rm [c]}$	74 [6:1]	$\ensuremath{\mathfrak{Z}}$	90 [3:1]
5	Ph 1e	2 _c	$\sqrt{48}$	66 [6:1]	$\sqrt{2}$	$85\;[3\!:\!1]$
6	1f	OH ΟH 2d	$31\,$	$73\,$	$\ensuremath{\mathfrak{Z}}$	$72\,$
$\boldsymbol{7}$	3a	OH 4a HO.	16	56	$\sqrt{2}$	$87\,$
$\,8\,$	3 _b	ŌН Ť HO. 4 _b	$43\,$	83 [5:1]	$\,1\,$	$78\;[1:1]$
9	$3c$	4 _b	$34\,$	$71\;[5{:}1]$	$\,1\,$	$68\;[1:1]$
$10\,$	Ph 3d	ŌН $\overline{\frac{1}{P}}$ h 4c HO.	$32^{\rm [c]}$	70 [17:1]	$\sqrt{2}$	70 [4:1]
$11\,$	Ω Ph 3e	$4\mathrm{c}$	$27\,$	$67\;[5{:}1]$	$\sqrt{2}$	$87\;[3:1]$

Table 2. Pd-catalyzed self-allylation of 2-(allyloxy)tetrahydropyrans 1 and 2-(allyloxy)tetrahydrofurans 3 promoted by Et₁B or Et₁Zn.

[a] 1 or 3 (1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mol%), nBu_3P (20 mol%), and Et₃B (2.4 mmol, 1m in hexane) in dry THF (5 mL) at 25^oC under N₂. [b] 1 or 3 (1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mol%), nBu₃P (40 mol%), and Et₂Zn (3.6 mmol, 1 M in hexane) in dry toluene (5 mL) at 25 °C under N₂. [c] At 50 °C.

Scheme 1. Plausible catalytic cycle for the generation of allylborane species.

action time than $Et₃B$ does. On the other hand, the reactions promoted by $Et₃B$ have an advantage; they generally provide anti-diastereomers, that is anti-2 and anti-4, in much higher selectivities.

In Table 3 the reactions are summarized using $Et₂Zn$ as a promoter. Under the catalysis of Pd/Et_3B , all the substrates listed in this table did not react in an expected way and either remained intact or provided, under forcing conditions, intractable mixtures only including 2 or 4 as minor components (TLC monitoring). Except for 1k (and 3i), these substrates share a common structural feature bearing two substituents on the allyl ether skeleton. Judging from the reaction times (Tables 2 and 3), these substituents significantly slow down the reaction.

The self-allylation of $1g$ (run 1, Table 3) offers typical examples of the solvent polarity effects on the yields and reaction times: THF (5 mL), hexane (3.6 mL), 34%, 64 h; toluene (5 mL), hexane (3.6 mL), 63%, 60 h; toluene (2 mL), hexane (3.6 mL), 80%, 48 h; toluene (0.2 mL), hexane (3.6 mL), 89%, 34 h.

It is apparent, through Tables 2 and 3, that the allylation takes place regioselectively providing the most branched isomers exclusively, irrespective of the substitution patterns of the starting materials. For example, α -methylallyl ether 1b (run 2, Table 2) and γ -methylallyl ether 1c (run 3) provide the same branched product 2b. Similar regioselectivities are also observed in many examples listed in Table 3.

In almost all cases, the stereoselectivity is also independent to the substitution pattern of the starting materials. Only one exception was observed for a pair of reactions of runs

Table 3. Pd-catalyzed self-allylation of 1 and 3 bearing allyl ether groups of some structural complexity.^[a]

$\mathop{\mathrm{Run}}$	1 or $\overline{3^{[\mathrm{b}]}}$	$\bf Product$	t [h]	Yield $\overline{(\%)^{[c]}}$
$\,1\,$	$\rho^{\rm O}$ 1g	HO 56 \bigvee_{OH} 2e	34	89 $[2:1:2]^{[d,e]}$
$\sqrt{2}$	$\rho^{\rm C}$ 1 _h	$HO_{\underline{\sqrt{5.6}}}$)4 $2f$ \overleftarrow{O} H	$17\,$	78 $[2\!:\!1]^{[d]}$
\mathfrak{Z}	$\rho^{\rm O}$ 1i	HQ 14 2g òн	$\overline{4}$	90 [12:1]
$\overline{4}$	$\rho^{\rm O}$ 1j	HO _,)4 2h \overline{O} H	$\overline{9}$	$73\,$
$\sqrt{5}$	$\rho^{\rm C}$ 1 _k	HO $\bigvee_{\bigcirc H}^{1/4}$ 2i	$\,1\,$	92
τ	$\epsilon_{\rm c}^{\rm O}$ 3f	HO ₄₅ () ₃ OH 4d	$27\,$	$80 [2:1:1]^{[d,f]}$
$\,$ 8 $\,$	\mathcal{E}^{C} 3g	HO _. 45 $\binom{\binom{1}{3}}{0}$ H 4e	$17\,$	90 $[2:1]^{[d]}$
9	$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\rm O}$ 3h	HQ (_{()з} ОН 4f	5	98 [5:1]
$10\,$	\mathcal{L}^{O} 3i	HO $(\begin{matrix} \langle \rangle_3 \\ \langle \rangle_1 \end{matrix}$ 4g	$\,1\,$	82

[a] 1 or 3 (1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mol%), nBu_3P (40 mol%), and Et₂Zn (3.6 mmol, 1 M in hexane) in dry toluene (0.5 mL) at 25 $^{\circ}$ C under N₂. [b] P and F stand for 2-tetrahydropyranyl and 2-tetrahydrofuryl groups, respectively. [c] Isolated yields of spectroscopically homogeneous materials. Ratios of diastereomers in brackets were determined on the basis of ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz). [d] The stereochemistry around C5–C6 (for 2) or C4–C5 (for 4) are unknown. [e] (E) -2e/ (E) -2e/ (Z) -2e. [f] (E) -4d/ (E) -4d'/ (Z) -4d.

10 and 11, Table 2. Under the Pd/Et_3B catalysis, the substrate 3d was so unreactive at 25° C that it required heating at 50° C for the reaction to proceed at a reasonable rate. Even under such conditions, 3d showed much higher selectivity than $3e$ did at 25° C. The reason for the unusual behavior on reactivity and stereoselectivity associated with 3 d is not clear at the moment.^[11] It should be noted that corresponding THP analogue 1d exhibited similarly low reactivi-

Table 4. Comparison of reactivity of $1g$ and its benzoic acid derivative.^[a]

	$Pd(OAc)_{2}$ RCHO Et ₂ Zn	ŌН
Aldehyde (R)	1g: $X = 2$ -THP[a,c,d]	(Z) -anti-5 $X = Bz^{[b,c]}$
Ph- $PhCH_2CH_2$	5 a: 89 [100:0] 5b: 68 [83:17]	5a: 63 [100:0] $5b: 36$ [94:6]

[a] a) Aldehyde (3 mmol), $1g$ (1 mmol), $Pd(OAc)_2$ (10 mol%), nBu_3P (40 mol%), Et₂Zn (3.6 mmol, 1_M in hexane), dry toluene (0.5 mL) at 25° C under N₂, b) Aldehyde (1.0 mmol), benzoate (1.2 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mmol), nBu_3P (40 mol%), Et₂Zn (2.4 mmol, 1_M in hexane), THF (5 mL). c) Ratios of (Z) , anti-5 to a mixture of other isomers $[(Z)$, syn-(E),syn-, and (E),anti-5). d) No 2 e was obtained. Scheme 2. Plausible transition states for the self-allylation of 1.

ty, but provided the allylation product $2c$ in the same selectivity as 1e did under the Pd/ $Et₃B$ catalysis.

In a previous paper, we have demonstrated that under Pd/ Et₂Zn umpolung conditions, trans-3-buten-2-yl benzoate^[4b] reacts with benzaldehydes and provides one of the four possible diastereomers, (Z) , anti-5 a, in excellent selectivity (Table 4). Furthermore, the benzoate even reacts with an aliphatic aldehyde, providing (Z) , anti-5**b** with high stereoselectivity. In the light of these precedents, the poor stereoselectivity observed for the selfallylation of $1g$ is quite unexpected (run 1, Table 3).

In order to address the unusual stereoselectivity, we examined the reaction of $1g$ with three equivalents of benzaldehyde and found that $1g$ also furnished (Z) , anti-5**a** exclusively (Table 4). Similar results, with somewhat lower stereoselectivity, are obtained for the reaction with an aliphatic aldehyde.

The results observed in Table 4 clearly indicate that 1) 1g shows better performance

than benzoate regarding the yields of products, $[14]$ 2) allylzinc species generated from different sources (benzoate and 1g) might be regarded structurally similar to each other, and 3) they intermolecularly react with aldehydes most likely via a transition state I shown in Scheme 2. The special structural feature associated with this six-membered chairlike transition state I, leading to thermodynamically less

stable (Z)-isomers, is that the methyl group α to Zn occupies a quasi-axial position so as to minimize the steric repulsion against the two ligands on Zn and hence, renders a quasi-equatorial conformation of the methyl group γ to Zn most suitable.

The poor stereoselectivity associated with the *intramolec*ular self-allylation of $1g$, on the other hand, might be attributed to the formation of a cyclic zinc ω -formylalkanolate species involving coordination of the aldehyde oxygen to Zn, which forces an aldehyde to approach to an allyl anion with its substituent in a quasi-axial position in such way as depicted in transition states II-boat and II-chair (Scheme 2). In these transition states, being characterized by a bicyclic [5.3.1] skeleton, both the aldehyde substituent and the Zn alkoxide bond are forced to locate in quasi-diaxial positions. Under such circumstances, II-chair might not be necessarily preferred over II-boat. In fact, a transition state II-boat leading to (E) -2e seems be slightly lower in energy than a transitions state II-chair leading to (Z) -2e, as judged from the product distribution, $[(E)-2\mathbf{e} + (E)-2\mathbf{e}]/(Z)$ -2 e 3:2 (run 1, Table 3 and footnote $[e]$). The product mixture of $2e$ was inseparable; however, the E/Z (3:2) ratio could be deduced on the basis of the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of the mixture. Unfortunately the relative stereochemistry around C5-C6 could not be determined.

The anti stereoselectivity generally observed for a series of reactions of 1, all through Tables 2 and 3, might be rationalized similarly, supposing II-boat as the most favored transition state. The remarkably high anti-selectivity observed for 1i (run 3, Table 3) might further lend support for a transition state II-boat. In this particular case, II-boat might predominate over II-chair, since II-chair suffers from substantial steric repulsion between $R^2-R^3 = (CH_2)_4$ and the bicyclic C_5 bridge.

Self-allylation of carbohydrate derivatives 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14: The present self-allylation turned out to be successfully applicable to the allylation of carbohydrate derivatives (Tables 5–8 and Scheme 3). In all cases, the yields are satisfactory and range from75 to 98% with one exception of 12b. Unsymmetrically substituted ethers reacted as usual, providing the most branched isomers exclusively.

It might seem to be very challenging to examine the stereoselection for these carbohydrate derivatives, since in addition to the metal–alkoxide control discussed in the foregoing section (e.g., transition state **II**-chair or **II**-boat), the other ether coordination controls (the so called "Cramcontrol" due to α -ether and remote ether controls due to β - or γ ethers) might become a subject to be taken into consideration. However, the stereochemical outcomes turned out to be much simpler than expected; among many transition models, the Cram control was by far the most important and the metal–alkoxide control was less important. The remote ether groups seemed not to participate in controlling the stereoselection to any appreciable extent.

In order to assess the abilities of the Cramcontrol, two sets of substrates, α -oxy-carbohydrates 6 (Table 5), 8 (Table 6), and 10 (Scheme 3) and α -deoxy-carbohydrates 12 and 14, were subjected to the self-allylation (Tables 7 and 8). As is evident from the results shown in Tables 7 and 8, a series of α -deoxy ether derivatives did not show any preferences of all possible stereoisomers.[15] In sharp contrast to this, α -oxy ether derivatives showed an interesting stereoselectivity at a synthetically useful level (Tables 5 and 6 and

Table 5. Self-allylation of 2-oxycarbohydrate derivative 6.^[a]

	ĸ \sim \circ 6	HC $Pd(OAc)_2$ Et ₂ Zn	HO R $(4R) - 7$
	R	t[h]	Yield $(\%)$
1	$6a: R = H$	2	7a: 91 [1:1]
\overline{c}	$6b: R = Me$	2	7b : 88 $[2:2:1:1]$
3	$6c: R = tBu$		7c: 85 [10:2:2:1]
$\overline{4}$	$6d: R = Ph$	2	7d: 76 [15:2:1:1]

[[]a] Conditions: 6 (1 mmol), $Pd(OAc)_{2}$ (10 mol%), $nBu_{3}P$ (40 mol%), and Et₂Zn (3.6 mmol, 1_M in hexane), dry toluene (2 mL) at 25° C under N₂.

Table 6. Self-allylation of 2-oxycarbohydrate derivative 8.^[a]

	R_{\sim} BnO BnO^{out} ™OBn OBn ₈	$Pd(OAc)_{2}$ Et ₂ Zn	OBn OBn R (R) $\sqrt{5}$ BnC OBn OH OН $(6R,7R) - 9$	
	R		t[h]	Yield $(\%)$
$\mathbf{1}$	$8a:R = H$		1.5	9a: 97 $[12:1]$
\overline{c}	$8b: R = Ph$			$9b: 72$ [single]

[[]a] For reaction conditions, see Table 5; 1 mmol 8.

Scheme 3).^[16] The parent $6a$ did not show any diastereoselectivity and provided a mixture of **7a** in a 1:1 ratio; however, surprisingly, as the steric size of allyl moieties increased, the diastereofacial selectivity (around C4 stereocenter) as well as the diastereoselectivity (around C5 stereocenter) increased gradually, and finally $(4R,5R)$ -7d was obtained predominantly over the other stereoisomers (run 4). In sharp contrast to this, in the case of the glucose derivatives, even the parent 8a exhibited a high level of diastereofacial selectivity and provided $(6R)$ -9a in excellent yield. Similarly high diastereoselectivity was observed for the mannose derivative 10, where a mixture of $(6S)$ -11/ $(6R)$ -11 was obtained in a 30:1 ratio in 90% yield.

Scheme 3. Self-allylation of 2-oxycarbohydrate derivative 10 (for reaction conditions, see Table 5; 1 mmol 10).

The contrasting stereoselectivity that 6a and a pair of 8a and 10 display may be accounted for by supposing two types of transitions states, a Cram-control transition state III and a zinc–alkoxide control transition state IV (Scheme 4), the

Scheme 4. Plausible transition states for the self-allylation of 6.

latter having been invoked as a transition state for the selfallylation of 1 and 3 in the previous section. A Cramtransition state **III** would give rise to $(4R)$ -7, while a transition state **IV** would lead to the other enantiomer, $(4S)$ -7. In the case of 6a, these two transition states might equally operate, resulting in the formation of a 1:1 mixture of $(4R)$ -7a and $(4S)$ -7a. Although the precise mechanism is not clear at the moment, as the steric size of allyl ether moiety increases, a Cram transition state III would become favorable over a zinc–alkoxide transition state IV. One reason for this may be due to steric repulsion between the C_4 and C_3 bridges in a transition state **IV** of a bicyclo^[4.3.1] structure, which might rapidly increase as the steric bulk of the C_3 bridge increases.

A Cram transition state III creating a $(4R)$ stereocenter is correlated to the creation of a $(5R)$ stereocenter $(R \neq H)$, as evidenced by the selective formation of $(4R,5R)$ -7d.

Table 7. Self-allylation of 2-deoxy-p-ribose derivative 12.^[a]

	ĸ TrO 0 م нó 12	OH HO TrO $Pd(OAc)_2$ Et ₂ Zn HO 13		
	R	T [^o C]/t [h]	Yield $(\%)$	
$\mathbf{1}$ \overline{c}	$12a: R = H$ 12 $b: R = Ph$	25/1 25/5	13a: 76 [1:1] 13b: 49 [3:2:1:1]	

[a] For conditions, see footnote of Table 5; 1 mmol 12; the same amount of $Et₂Zn$ was used, despite the presence of a hydroxy group.

Table 8. Self-allylation of 2-deoxy-D-ribose derivative 14.^[a]

	R $O_{\gamma_{\alpha}}$ 14	$Pd(OAc)_2$ Et ₂ Zn	OH HO R 15
	R	T [^o C]/t [h]	Yield $(\%)$
1	$14a: R = H$	25/3	15a: 98 [1:1]
1	14 $b: R = Ph$	25/5	15b: 98 [2:2:1:1]

[[]a] For conditions, see footnote of Table 5; 1 mmol 14.

In the cases of the self-allylation of 8 and 10, as compared with 6, a zinc–alkoxide transition state such as **IV** would become less favorable, since in these cases a tether connecting zinc and aldehyde becomes longer by one carbon unit $(C_5$ bridge vs C_4 bridge) and the repulsion between C_5 and C_3 bridges becomes more serious; hence even the parent $8a$ and 10 would selectively react through a Cramtransition state such as **III** to furnish 9a and 11, respectively.

Structure determination of products: Fortunately, 7 d formed a nice crystalline solid and the structure of $(4R,5R)$ -7d was determined unequivocally by means of X-ray crystallographic analysis.[17] Chem3D perspective view of the crystal structure is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Chem 3D presentation of X-ray structure of $(4R,5R)$ -7d. For clarity, only relevant hydrogen atoms are shown.

The structures of $2b$, $2g$, $4c$, $9a$ and 11 were deduced on the basis of either coupling constants ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ or increments of area intensities by NOE experiments observed for the ¹H NMR spectra of their cyclic derivatives, which were prepared according to the standard procedures as outlined in Scheme 5.

The transformation of $2g$ to 18 may deserve some comments; reduction with NaBH₄ of the cyclohexanone moiety of the ozonolysis product of $2g$ took place selectively from the axial side^[18] and bicyclic carbonate 18 was obtained as a single diastereomer. Two methyne protons H_a and H_c of 18 appeared separately and showed well-resolved absorptions with splitting patterns, being characteristic of axial orientation of trans-fused bicyclo[4.4.0]decane with a chair-conformation: H_a, 4.12 ppm (ddd, ³J(H,H) = 2.7, 7.1, 10.2 Hz); H_c, 3.98 ppm (dt, $3J(H,H)$ = 4.4, 11.0 Hz). The H_a and H_c protons of the acetonide derivative of 18 give almost the same chemical shifts and were give complex spectra in $CDCl₃$ or in C_6D_6 .

An eight-membered cyclic acetonide such as 19 was prepared also from **9b**, however, no useful information to determine the C7 configuration was obtained by extensive examinations of the 1 H NMR spectra. Accordingly, the 7R configuration of $(6R,7R)$ -9b was tentatively assigned by analogy with the stereoselectivity observed for $(4R,5R)$ -7d. Acetonization of (6S)-11 did not proceed at all under the conditions applied to the conversion of $(6R)$ -9a to 19, probably owing to steric repulsion of C4-allyl and C5-OBn groups against one of the acetonide methyl group. A cyclic carbonate 20 was prepared under rather forcing conditions (see Experimental Section).

Scheme 5. Structure determination of products. i) O_3/CH_2Cl_2 at $-78 °C$, ii) NaBH₄/MeOH-H₂O, iii) excess Me₂C(OMe)₂, cat. p-toluenesulfonic acid, iv) $Ph₃CCl$, $Et₃N/CH₂Cl₂$, v) (Imd)₂CO/THF, vi) (Imd)₂CO/NaH/dioxane. Tr=triphenylmethyl, Imd=imidazolyl.

C3 Unit elongation of diols in shorter steps: A general strategy for the C_3 -unit elongation of diols may consists of 1) semi-protection of diols to form mono-alcohols, 2) oxidation of the mono-alcohols to aldehydes, 3) allylation of the aldehydes with an appropriate allylating agent, and 4) depro-

Scheme 6. C_3 elongation of diols without protection–deprotection technique. i) NaH (210 mol%), allyl chloride (110 mol%), DMF, ii) pyridinium chlorochromate (200 mol%), AcONa (80 mol%), CH₂Cl₂; 21 a (40%) overall), 21 b (35% overall). iii) $Pd(OAc)_{2}$ (10 mol%), $nBu_{3}P$ (40 mol%), Et₂Zn (240 mol%, 1_M hexane), toluene (0.5 mL) at room temperature under N₂.

Self-allylation of 1a with reduced amounts of catalysts: All the experiments examined so far have used 10 mol% of Pd- $(OAc)_{2}$. In Table 9 are summarized the results examined using reduced amounts of $Pd(OAc)_2$ together with the results examined under standard conditions for references (runs 1 and 4).

In practice, the experimental runs 2, 3, 5, and 6 were undertaken with fixed amounts of the catalyst/ligand (i.e., 0.1 mmol/0.2 mmol for Et_3B and 0.1 mmol/0.4 mmol for Et₂Zn), scaling up the amounts of $1a$, Et₃B or Et₂Zn, and the solvents. The reactions in runs 2 and 3 were not complete after 22 h at 25° C and the reactions were continued at 55° C.

As the loading amounts of the catalyst decrease, the isolated yields of 2a gradually decrease and reaction times get

Table 9. Self-allylation of 1a under reduced loading of the catalysts.^[a]

	$Pd(OAc)_{2}(mol\%)/nBu_{3}P(mol\%)$	Scale [mmol]	Et _n M	T [°C]/t [h]	Yield $2a$ $(\%)$
	10/20		Et ₃ B	25/2	86
	3/6	3.4	Et ₃ B	$25/22 \rightarrow 55/10$	77
	1/2	10	Et ₃ B	$25/22 \rightarrow 55/24$	61
4	10/40		Et ₂ Zn	25/1	91
	3/12	3.4	Et ₂	25/3	84
h	1/4	10	Et ₂ Zn	25/8	63

[a] See footnote [a] and [b] (toluene, 0.5 mL instead of 5.0 mL) in Table 2 for reaction conditions. For larger scale experiments, the amounts of Et_nM and the solvent were increased proportionally.

tection. In this sequence, an allylating agent must be prepared separately to perform the step 3. Accordingly, totally five steps are necessary to achieve this transformation.

According to the sequence of reactions illustrated in Scheme 6, the allylation of alcohol (step i) may be regarded as a semi-protection step of a diol and as a step of preparation of allylating agent as well. Furthermore, with a single step iii, can be achieved both nucleophilic allylation and deprotection, the steps 3 and 4 mentioned above. Thus, as compared with the existing general strategy, the process shown in Scheme 6 is shorter in steps by 2 and might be more efficient and economical. Both aromatic 21 a and aliphatic aldehydes 21 b undergo self-allylation smoothly at room temperature and provide diols 22a and 22b in quantitative yields, respectively.[19]

longer. Yet, even with 1 mol% of the catalyst, the yields of 2a still amount to about 60%.

Conclusion

A full scope of allylation of aldehydes using allyl ethers as allyl nucleophiles is described. The reaction proceeds nicely under very mild conditions, in almost all cases at ambient temperature, under the catalysis of Pd/Et_3B or Pd/Et_2Zn . The Pd/Et_2Zn system is applicable to a wide structural variety of allyl ethers 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 21. The success of the reaction under the Pd/Et_3B conditions is limited only to allyl ethers 1 and 3 of structural simplicity, but this catalytic system shows higher diastereoselectivity than $Pd/Et₂Zn$ does. Under the Pd/Et₂Zn conditions, a 2-oxy-THP group

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

serves as a better leaving group than a benzoate group and provides homoallyl alcohols in much better yields (Table 4). The allyl group and 2-THF and 2-THP groups of 3 and 1 have been, so far, recognized as protecting groups; however, through the present methodology, they have been proved to be the useful C_3 -, C_4 -, and C_5 -building blocks, respectively. The allylation methodology of carbohydrates disclosed here may find wide application in chiral natural product synthesis. The chiral homoallyl alcohols obtained here may also be utilized for the chiral allyl group transfer via the 2-oxonium-Cope rearrangement, the methodology developed recent- $\rm |v^{[20]}$

Synthetic advantage using an allyl ether not only as a protecting group but also as an allyl nucleophile is demonstrated by short and high-yield C_3 -unit elongation reactions of diols (Scheme 6).

Experimental Section

Solvents and reagents: Tetrahydrofuran was dried and distilled from benzophenone and sodium immediately prior to use under nitrogen atmosphere. Toluene was distilled over calcium hydride. Pd (OAc) ₂ (purity 97.0%, Nakarai tesque), Ph₃P (purity 97+%, Wako), nBu_3P (purity 90.0+%, Tokyo Kasei), Et₃B (1.0m hexane, KANTO), Et₂Zn (1.0m hexane, KANTO), allyl alcohol (KANTO), 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-erythronolactone (Aldrich), 2-deoxy-p-ribose (Tokyo Kasei), p-glucose (Wako), n-mannose (Wako), triphenylmethyl chloride (Tokyo Kasei), obis(hydroxymethyl)benzene (Tokyo Kasei), 2,2-dimethoxypropane (Tokyo Kasei), NaH (purity 60.0+ %, Kishida Chemicals), p-toluenesulfonic acid (p-TsOH, Nakarai tesque), DIBAL (1.0m hexane, KANTO), and dry DMF (purity 99.5%, water <0.005%, KANTO) were purchased and used as received.

Preparation of starting materials

2-(Allyloxy)tetrahydrofurans (1 a–k) and 2-(allyloxy)tetrahydropyrans (3 a–i): Tetrahydrofuran 1 a as a typical example: 3,4-Dihydro-2H-pyran (10 mL, 30 mmol) was added via syringe at 0° C under N₂ to a solution of allyl alcohol (1.55 mL, 20 mmol) and p -TsOH (0.34 mg, 2.0 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL). The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature overnight and then diluted with AcOEt and washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and brine, and the organic phase was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by Kugelrohr distillation (100°C at 30 mm Hg) to give 1a (2.73 g, 96%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25^oC, TMS): $\delta = 1.50 - 1.90$ (m, 6H), 3.52 (m, 1H), 3.89 (m, 1H), 3.99 (dd, ${}^{3}J$ - $(H,H) = 12.9, 6.1$ Hz, 1 H), 4.26 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 12.9, 4.9$ Hz, 1 H), 4.66 (t, $3J(H,H) = 3.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H$, 5.18 (d, $3J(H,H) = 10.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H$), 5.30 (d, $3J-H$ $(H,H) = 16.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.95 (dddd, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 16.9$, 10.4, 6.1, 4.9 Hz, 1H); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3078, 2939, 2870, 1736, 1643, 1443, 1373, 1319, 1265, 1126,$ $1072, 1026, 995, 926, 871, 810, 748$ cm⁻¹.

4-(Allyloxy)-tetrahydro-2,2-dimethylfuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxole (6 a): i) A solution of DIBAL (40.7 mmol, 1.0m hexane) was added dropwise over 0.5 h into a well-stirred solution of 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-erythronolactone (3.0 g, 19 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) kept at -78 °C. After stirring for 4 h at -78 °C, successively methanol (15 mL) and water (15 mL) were added dropwise. After being allowed to warm to room temperature, Et₂O (150 mL) and MgSO₄ were added. The mixture was filtrated and the filter cake was washed with ether (60 mL). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:16) to give 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-erythronolactol (2.47 g, 81 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 3.53 (br s, 1H), 4.02 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 10.2, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (dd, $\partial J(H,H)$ = 5.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 1H); IR (neat): \tilde{v} = 3425, 2986, 2947, 2885, 1458, 1380, 1335, 1211, 1165, 1072, 987, 910, 856 cm⁻¹.

ii) To a mixture of $2,3-O$ -isopropylidene-p-erythronolactol (1.0 g) , 6.2 mmol) and NaH (0.3 g, 7.5 mmol) in dry DMF (10 mL) was added dropwise a solution of allyl bromide (0.83 g, 6.9 mmol) in dry DMF (10 mL) at 0 °C under N₂. After stirring for 5 h at ambient temperature, the mixture was quenched with MeOH/H₂O (53 mL, 1:17) and extracted with Et₂O (2×200 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:10) to give 6a (770 mg, 62%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 3.89 (dd, $\frac{3}{1}$ H,H) = 10.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.95–4.01 (m, 2H), 4.13 (ddq, $\frac{3}{1}$ $(H,H) = 12.9, 5.2, 1.4 Hz, 1 H$, 4.58 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.8 Hz} = 5.8 Hz, 1 H$), 4.80 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 5.8, 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 1 H), 5.19 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 10.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (dddd, $3J(H,H)$ = 17.3, 10.2, 5.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3082, 2939, 2876, 1649, 1458, 1373,$ 1354, 1271, 1163, 1045, 1026, 995, 929, 858, 815, 764, 675 cm⁻¹. **6b–d** were prepared similarly.

2-(Allyloxy)-3,4,5-tris(benzyloxy)-6-(benzyloxymethyl)-tetrahydro-2H-

pyran (8a): i) Conc. H_2SO_4 (0.023 g, 0.28 mmol) at ambient temperature was added to a solution of p-glucose $(0.93 \text{ g}, 5.2 \text{ mmol})$ in allyl alcohol (8.46 g, 0.145 mol). The mixture was stirred at 85° C for 3 h. The mixture was neutralized with 28% aq. NH₃ and volatile materials were removed in vacuo at room temperature to give an oil.

ii) To a mixture of the oil and NaH (1.10 g, 27.5 mmol) in dry DMF (40 mL) was added dropwise a solution of benzyl bromide (4.27 g, 25.0 mmol) in dry DMF (15 mL) at 0° C under N₂. After stirring for 24 h at ambient temperature, the mixture was quenched with MeOH/H₂O (112 mL, 1:8) and extracted with Et₂O $(2 \times 400 \text{ mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/ hexane 1:8) to give $\bf{8a}$ (1.68 g, 57%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 3.40 - 3.82$ (m, 6H), 4.03 (m, 2H), 4.15 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1.4} = 13.4$, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.42–5.06 (m, 8H), 5.20 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ =11.3 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (d, $3J(H,H) = 17.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.95 (dddd, $3J(H,H) = 17.0$, 11.3, 6.1, 5.4 Hz, 1H); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3063, 3030, 2866, 1647, 1585, 1496, 1454, 1359, 1329,$ 1261, 1209, 1072, 1028, 928, 819, 737 cm⁻¹. Compound **8b** was prepared similarly.

2-Allyloxy-3,4,5-tris(benzyloxy)-6-(benzyloxymethyl)-tetrahydro-2H-

pyran (10): Conc. H_2SO_4 (50 µL, 1 mmol) at room temperature was added to a solution of p -mannose $(1.8 g, 10 mmol)$ in allyl alcohol (10 mL, 147 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at 80° C for 2 h. The mixture was neutralized with 28% aq. ammonia (150 μ L) and the excess amount of allyl alcohol was removed under reduced pressure $(70 °C)$ 0.1 mmHg). To the mixture of the residual oil and NaH (50% dispersion in mineral oil; 2.4 g, 50 mmol) in dry DMF (80 mL) was added a solution of benzyl bromide (6.0 mL, 50 mmol) dissolved in dry DMF (20 mL) through a dropping funnel at 0° C under nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 6 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous methanol (100 mL; MeOH/water 1:8) and extracted with Et₂O (3×80 mL). The combined organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to give a viscous oil, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:8) to give 10 (3.29 g, 58%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 3.71–3.81 (m, 3H), 3.92–4.00 (m, 2H), 4.15 (m, 1H), 4.50 (brd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.56 $(brs, 1H)$, 4.62–4.77 (m, 4H), 4.87 (brd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ =10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (br s, 1 H), 5.14 (br d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.20 (br d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (brddm, $3J(H,H) = 10.5$, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.45 (m, 20H); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3032$ (s), 2862 (s), 1103 (s), 741 cm⁻¹ (s).

5-(Allyloxy)tetrahydro-2-(triphenylmethoxymethyl)furan-3-ol (12 a): i) Conc. H_2SO_4 (0.07 g, 0.8 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-deoxy-Dribose $(2.5 g, 18.6 mmol)$ in allyl alcohol $(101.8 g, 1.7 mol)$ and the mixture was stirred at 25° C for 1.5 h. The mixture was neutralized with 28% aq. NH₃ and volatile materials were removed in vacuo to give an oil. ii) To a mixture of the oil and Et₃N (2.67 g, 26.4 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) was added dropwise a solution of triphenylmethyl chloride (6.58 g, 23.6 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at 0[°]C under N₂. After stirring at ambient temperature for 21 h, the mixture was washed with 0.1m HCl, sat. NaHCO₃, and brine. The organic phase was dried $(MgSO_4)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by column chroma-

tography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:7) to give $12a$ (4.57 g, 59%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 1.87 (d, ³J(H,H) = 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.05 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 13.5, 5.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.21 (ddd, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 13.5, 6.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.17 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{9.6}$ = 9.6, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.31 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{9.6}$ 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 12.6$, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (q, $3J(H,H) =$ 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (m, 1H), 4.42 (m, 1H), 5.10 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (m, 1H), 5.95 (dddd, $3J(H,H)$ = 17.3, 10.4, 6.6, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.52 (m, 15H); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3460$, 2924, 2870, 1491, 1448, 1223, 1080, 1001, 926, 900, 839, 763, 746, 705, 636 cm⁻¹. Compound 12b was prepared similarly.

6-(Allyloxy)tetrahydro-2,2-dimethyl-4H-furo[3,2-d][1,3]dioxine (14 a): i) Conc. H_2SO_4 (0.04 g, 0.4 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-deoxy-pribose (1.34 g, 10 mmol) in allyl alcohol (26.7 g, 0.46 mol) and the mixture was stirred at 25° C for 1.5 h. The mixture was neutralized with 28% aq. NH3 and volatile materials were removed in vacuo to give an oil. ii) A solution of the oil and p-TsOH (0.20 g, 1.1 mmol) in dry acetone (12 mL) was stirred for 12 h at ambient temperature under N_2 . The mixture was diluted with AcOEt and the mixture was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and brine. The organic phase was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:3) to give **14a** (1.35 g, 63%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.84 (ddd, ³J(H,H) = 14.5, 6.1, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (dt, $\frac{3}{I}(H,H) = 14.5$, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 12.9$, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 12.9$, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.01 $(m, 1H)$, 4.15 (dt, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{2}$ = 7.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (m, 1H), 4.46 (q, $3J(H,H) = 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.92 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 6.1$, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (d, $3J(H,H) = 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.28 (d, $3J(H,H) = 17.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.91 (dddd, $^{3}J(H,H)$ =17.0, 10.2, 6.1, 4.6 Hz, 1H); IR (neat): \tilde{v} =2986, 2939, 2878, 2361, 1458, 1373, 1272, 1211, 1165, 1088, 995, 926, 864, 756 cm⁻¹. Compound 14b was prepared similarly.

 o -(Allyloxymethyl)benzaldehyde (21a): i) A solution of allyl chloride (0.85 g, 11.1 mmol) in dry DMF (12 mL) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ under N_2 to a mixture of *o*-bis(hydroxymethyl)benzene (1.39 g, 10.0 mmol) and NaH (0.85 g, 21.1 mmol) in dry DMF (20 mL). After stirring for 24 h at ambient temperature, the mixture was quenched with MeOH/H₂O (48 mL, 1:3). The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (2 \times 150 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil.

ii) To a solution of the oil in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL) were added pyridinium chlorochromate (4.33 g, 20 mmol) and sodium acetate (0.66 g, 8 mmol) at room temperature. After stirring for 1 h at room temperature, the mixture was diluted with ether. The organic extract was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:8) to give $21a$ (704 mg, 40% overall yield). ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3, 25^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{TMS})$: $\delta = 4.14 \text{ (dt, } {}^{3}J(\text{H},\text{H}) = 5.5, 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}),$ 4.94 (s, 2H), 5.23 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 10.4$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dd, $3J(H,H) =$ 15.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (ddt, $\mathrm{^{3}J(H,H)}$ = 15.9, 10.4, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.26–7.88 (m. 5H), 10.22 (s, 1H); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 2855$, 2783, 2360, 1697, 1597, 1350, 1195, 1080, 995, 925, 856, 756 cm⁻¹. Compound 21b was prepared similarly.

General procedure for the self-allylation of 1 or 3 (with Et_2Zn , run 1, Table 2): Compound $1a$ (142.2 mg, 1.0 mmol) and diethylzinc (3.6 mL, 1.0 m in hexane) via syringe at 0° C were added successively to a solution of Pd(OAc)₂ (22.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) and nBu_3P (80.9 mg, 0.4 mmol) in dry toluene (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h under N_2 and then diluted with AcOEt, washed with $2M$ HCl, sat. $NaHCO₃$, and brine. The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane gradient $1:4 \rightarrow 4:1$) to give 7-octene-1,5-diol (2a) in (123 mg, 86%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ =1.40–1.64 (m, 6H), 2.13–2.21 (m, 2H), 2.55 (brs, 2H), 3.62 (m, 1H), 3.63 (t, $3J(H,H)$ = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 5.11 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dm, $3J(H,H) = 15.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, 5.83 \text{ (ddt, } 3J(H,H) = 15.8, 11.7, 7.7, 1 \text{ H});$ ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 21.8, 32.4, 36.3, 42.0, 62.3, 70.7, 117.6, 135.0; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3331, 3076, 2936, 2864, 1641, 1435, 1340,$ 914 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₈H₁₆O₂: 144.1150, found: 144.1098 (1) [M ⁺], 126 (4), 116 (2), 71 (100).

6-Methyloct-7-ene-1,5-diol (2b): $\rm ^1H\, NMR$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 1.03$ (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1.07} = 7.0$ Hz, 3H), 1.37–1.65 (m, 6H), 2.42–3.12 $(m, 2H)$, 2.20 (sext, $3J(H,H) = 7.0$ Hz, 1H, anti-isomer), 2.25 (sext, $3J$ (H,H)=7.0 Hz, 1H, syn-isomer), 3.41 (m, 1H, anti-isomer), 3.46 (m, 1H, syn-isomer), 3.65 (t, $3J(H,H) = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 5.11 (d, $3J(H,H) = 16.7$ Hz, 1 H), 5.12 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{10}$ = 10.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.75 (ddd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{10}$ = 16.7, 10.8, 8.2 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): anti-isomer: δ = 16.2, 69.9, 32.6, 33.7, 44.2, 62.5, 74.7, 116.1, 140.4; syn-isomer: $\delta = 14.4$, 22.0, 32.6, 33.7, 43.7, 62.5, 74.7, 115.1, 141.1; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3332$, 3076, 2868, 1828, 1639, 1456, 1417, 1373, 1336, 912 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): *m*/z (%): calcd for $C_9H_{18}O_2-H_2O$: 140.1201, found: 140.1201 (2) $[M^+ - H_2O]$, 103 (23), 85 (100), 67 (23).

6-Phenyl-7-octene-1,5-diol (2c): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): anti-isomer: $\delta = 1.32 - 1.66$ (m, 6H), 2.29-2.42 (m, 2H), 3.22 (brt, $3J(H,H) = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.54 (brt, $3J(H,H) = 3.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.75–3.80 (m, 1H), 5.16 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 17.2$, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 9.9$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.11 (ddd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ =17.2, 9.9, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.28 (m, 5H); syn-isomer: δ = 1.32–1.66 (m, 6H), 2.29–2.42 (m, 2H), 3.28 (brt, ³J (H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.54 (br t, $3J(H,H)$ = 3.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.75–3.80 (m, 1 H), 5.16 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 17.2$, 0.7 Hz, 2H), 5.20 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 9.9$, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.11 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 17.2$, 9.9, 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.18–7.28 (m, 5 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C, TMS): anti-isomer: $\delta = 21.9$, 32.4, 35.2, 57.5, 62.7, 73.8, 117.9, 126.6, 127.9, 128.1, 128.7, 129.2, 138.2, 141.4; syn-isomer: d=22.0, 32.6, 35.4, 57.4, 62.3, 74.1, 116.8, 126.8, 128.0, 128.1, 128.8, 129.0, 138.4, 144.2; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3352$, 3028, 2937, 2866, 1726, 1600, 1493, 1452, 1335, 1244, 916 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{14}H_{20}O_2$: 220.1463, found: 220.1442 (3) $[M^+]$, 147 (8), 118 (100), 103 (8), 85 (16).

7-Methyl-7-octene-1,5-diol (2**d**): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): d=1.39–1.64 (m, 6H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 2.08–2.11 (m, 2H), 2.11 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 13.5, 9.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.21 (ddm, $3J(H,H) = 13.5, 3.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.65 $(\text{tm}, \frac{3}{J}(H,H)=6.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 3.73 $(\text{m}, 1H)$, 4.80 $(\text{s}, 1H)$, 4.88 $(\text{s}, 1H)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 21.9, 22.5, 32.6, 36.7, 46.2, 62.5, 68.9, 113.3, 142.9; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3333, 3074, 2935, 2864, 1651, 1452,$ 1375, 889 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%):calcd for C₉H₁₈O₂ 158.1307, found: 158.1340 (1) $[M^+]$, 128 (1), 104 (3), 85 (100).

6-Methyl-7-nonene-1,5-diol (2e): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 0.99 (d, ³J(H,H) = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.32–1.62 (m, 6H), 1.63 (dd, ³J- $(H,H)=5.1, 1.8 \text{ Hz}, 3H$, 2.01 (brs, 2H), 2.53 (dm, $3J(H,H)=7.0 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H), 3.35 (m, 1 H), 3.65 (tm, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.7 \text{ Hz}}$, 2H), 5.26 (ddq, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.2 \text{ Hz}}$) 10.3, 8.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (dq, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ =10.6, 7.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 25^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{TMS})$: $\delta = 15.0, 16.8, 21.9, 33.7, 37.6, 43.2, 62.7,$ 74.9, 127.2, 133.0; IR(neat): $\tilde{v} = 3344$, 2935, 2869, 1726, 1454, 1375, 1259, 1055, 970, 921 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₀H₂₀O₂ 172.1463, found: 172.1465 (10) [M ⁺], 171(7), 155 (7), 142 (14), 137 (100), 136 (14).

1-(2-Cyclohexenyl)pentane-1,5-diol $(2 f)$: a mixture in a ratio of 2:1; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25^oC, TMS): δ = 1.34–1.47 (m, 2H), 1.68– 1.87 (m, 4H), 1.96–2.02 (m, 2H), 2.18–2.24 (m, 1H), 3.46 (ddm, ³J $(H,H)=8.4, 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.56 (ddd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.8}$, 5.5, 4.8 Hz, 1H, minor), 3.66 (t, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{6.2}$, 1H), 5.69 (dm, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{6.3}$ =10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.55 (dm, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 10.3 Hz, 1H, minor), 5.85 (ddm, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 10.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): major $\delta = 21.8$, 22.3, 25.3, 25.9, 32.7, 33.4, 41.5, 62.8, 75.4, 126.9, 130.2; minor isomer: δ = 21.5, 22.4, 22.9, 25.3, 32.7, 34.3, 41.5, 62.9, 74.5, 128.7, 130.5; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3344, 3024, 2931, 2862, 1649, 1448, 1435, 1105, 1028 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₁H₂₀O₂ 184.1463, found: 184.1460 (0.2) [M⁺], 167.1428 (0.4), 104 (100).

1-(2-Methylenecyclohexyl)pentane-1,5-diol (2 g): a mixture in a ratio of 12:1; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 1.38 (m, 1H), 1.43– 1.53 (m, 3H), 1.56–1.67 (m, 6H), 1.67–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.83 (s, 2H), 2.13 $(dt, {}^{3}J(H,H)=9.9, 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 2.17 (t, {}^{3}J(H,H)=6.2 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}), 3.67 (t, {}^{3}J(H,H)=9.9 \text{ Hz}, 1.4 \text{ Hz})$ $(H,H)=6.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.78 (ddd, $3J(H,H)=9.2$, 8.8, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, $3J(H,H) = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.66 (s, 1H, minor), 4.86 (m, 1H), 4.73 (s, 1H, minor); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): major isomer: $\delta = 21.6$, 22.4, 28.1, 29.0, 32.8, 33.0, 33.5, 50.1, 69.1, 70.2, 110.3, 149.8; minor isomer: $\delta = 22.1$, 23.1, 27.8, 28.5, 32.6, 34.6, 34.9, 49.5, 60.4, 70.2, 107.9, 150.5; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3342, 2932, 2858, 1645, 1447, 1058, 889 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; HRMS

CHEMISTRY:

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

(EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₂H₂₂O₂-H₂O: 180.1514, found: 180.1208 (10) $[M^+$ -H₂O], 179 (100).

6,6-Dimethyl-7-octene-1,5-diol (2h): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): d=1.00 (s, 6H), 1.25 (m, 1H), 1.36 (m, 1H), 1.45–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.70 (m, 2H), 3.25 (dd, $3J(H,H)=10.3$, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 3.62 (t, $3J(H,H)$ = 3.1 Hz, 2H), 5.03 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 17.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 11.0$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 17.6$, 11.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 22.4, 22.9, 23.2, 31.0, 32.5, 62.5, 78.2, 113.1, 145.6; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3354$, 3082, 2941, 2869, 1637, 1460, 1413, 1379, 1361, 912 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{10}H_{20}O_2-H_2O$: 155.1436, found: 155.1402 (47) $[M^+-H_2O]$, 139 (100), 137 (99), 124 (31).

6-Vinyl-7-octene-1,5-diol (2i): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ =1.35–1.86 (m, 8H), 2.80 (brq, δ J(H,H)=7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (m, 1H), 3.64–3.68 (m, 2H), 5.12 (dm, $3J(H,H) = 10.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dm, $3J(H,H) = 15.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dm, $3J(H,H) = 15.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.79 (ddd, $3J(H,H)$ = 15.1, 10.7, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (ddd, $3J(H,H)$ = 15.5, 10.1, 5.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 22.0, 32.6, 33.8, 55.0, 62.8, 73.2, 116.9, 117.6, 137.0, 137.6; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3344$, 3078, 2937, 2866, 2360, 2343, 1636, 1458, 1418, 1338, 1056, 1028, 1000, 912 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₀H₁₈O₂ 170.1307, found: 170.1242 (1) [M⁺], 169.1293 (0.2), 153.1381 (0.3), 104 (100).

6-Heptene-1,4-diol (4a): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.39–1.73 (m, 4H), 2.17–2.31(m, 2H), 3.37 (br s, 2H), 3.60–3.72 (m, 2H), 5.11 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1.0 \text{ Hz}} = 11.0 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 5.12 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1.0 \text{ Hz}} = 16.1 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 5.82 $(ddt, \ ^{3}J(H,H)=16.5, \ 11.0, \ 7.0 \ Hz, \ 1H); \ ^{13}CNMR \ (100 MHz, \ CDCl₃,$ 25°C, TMS): δ = 29.2, 33.8, 42.1, 62.9, 70.6, 118.0, 134.8; IR (neat): \tilde{v} = 3333, 3076, 2935, 2634, 1641, 1435, 1344, 1057, 1011, 914 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₇H₁₄O₂ 131.1099, found: 131.1080 (3) [M⁺], 115 (2), 112 (4), 89 (100).

5-Methyl-6-heptene-1,4-diol (4b): *anti*-isomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 1.03 (d, ³J(H,H) = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.44 (m, 1H), 1.59–1.73 (m, 3H), 2.23 (dq, $3J(H,H)=8.1$, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (brs, 1H), 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.58–3.70 (m, 2H), 5.09 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ =18.3 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.76 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 8.1$, 18.3, 11.5 Hz, 1H); synisomer: $\delta = 1.04$ (d, $\mathrm{^{3}J(H,H)} = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 1.37–1.50 (m, 1H), 1.60–1.73 $(m, 3H)$, 2.23 $(dq, {}^{3}J(H,H)=8.1, 7.0 Hz, 1H)$, 3.29 $(brs, 1H)$, 3.45 $(m,$ 1H), 3.58–3.70 (m, 2H), 5.05 (d, $3J(H,H) = 10.4 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 5.06 (d, $3J(H,H) = 17.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.78 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 17.4$, 10.4, 7.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): anti-isomer: δ = 16.2, 29.3, 31.1, 44.3, 62.8, 74.8, 116.1, 140.4; syn-isomer: δ = 14.7, 29.5, 31.3, 43.9, 62.8, 74.9, 115.1, 141.1; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3333, 3078, 2937, 2868, 1639, 1456, 1417, 1373, 1338,$ 912 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₈H₁₆O₂: 144.1150, found: 144.1176 (6) $[M^+]$, 126 (100).

5-Phenyl-6-heptene-1,4-diol (4c): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): anti-isomer: $\delta = 1.36$ (m, 1H), 1.52 (m, 1H), 1.60–1.66 (m, 2H), 2.87 (brs, 1H), 3.24 (brt, $3J(H,H)=8.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.50–3.66 (m, 2H), 3.81(tm, $3J(H,H) = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dm, $3J(H,H) = 16.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.20 $(\text{dm}, \frac{3J(H,H)}{9.5 \text{ Hz}}, 1\text{ H}), 6.12 \text{ (ddd}, \frac{3J(H,H)}{19.9 \text{ Hz}}, 10.3, 9.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}),$ 7.18–7.35 (m, 5H); syn-isomer: δ = 1.43 (m, 1H), 1.66–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.83 $(m, 1H)$, 2.87 (brs, 1H), 3.30 (brt, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{8.5H}$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.50–3.66 (m, 2H), 3.88 (tm, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{8.4 \text{ Hz}} = 8.4 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 5.11 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{4.4 \text{ Hz}} = 11.4 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 5.12 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (ddd, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 16.1, 11.0, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.35 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C, TMS): antiisomer: $\delta = 29.3, 31.5, 57.6, 63.0, 74.0, 118.0, 126.8, 128.0, 128.8, 138.4,$ 141.5; syn-isomer: d=29.3, 31.5, 57.6, 63.0, 74.3, 117.0, 127.0, 128.0, 128.5, 138.4, 140.9; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3344, 3028, 2873, 1637, 1601, 1492, 1452, 1001,$ 916 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₃H₁₈O₂-OH: 189.1279, found: 189.1295 (1) $[M^+$ -OH], 118 (100), 89 (10).

5-Methyl-6-octene-1,4-diol (4d): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.00 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (m, 1H), 1.62–1.76 (m, 7H), 2.25 $(\text{ddd}, {}^{3}J(H,H)=0.7, 6.6, 8.8, 17.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 2.79 \text{ (brs, 1 H)}, 3.37 \text{ (m, 1 H)},$ 3.59–3.72 (m, 2H), 5.25 (ddq, $3J(H,H)$ =16.2, 11.0, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.61 $(\text{ddd}, \, ^3J(H,H)=0.7, \, ^7.0, \, ^11.0, \, ^11.7 \, Hz, \, ^1H);$ 13 CNMR $(100 \text{ MHz},$ CDCl₃, 25° C, TMS) δ = 15.3, 16.7, 29.3, 31.1, 42.9, 62.9, 75.0, 126.1, 132.9; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3340, 2933, 2873, 1448, 1375, 1056, 977$ cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_9H_{18}O_2-H_2O$: 141.1279, found: 141.1183 (1) $[M^+$ $-H₂O$], 140 (1), 71 (100).

1-(2-Cyclohexenyl)butane-1,4-diol (4e): a mixture of diastereomers in a ratio of 2:1; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 1.39$ (m, 1H), 1.48–1.58 (m, 2H), 1.60–1.81 (m, 6H), 1.96–2.02 (br s, 2H), 2.20–2.24 (m, 2H), 3.49 (m, 1H), 3.58 (m, 1H), 3.70 (tm, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.6}$ Hz, 2H), 3.66 $(\text{tm}, {}^{3}J(H,H)=5.85 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}), 5.69 \text{ (dm}, {}^{3}J(H,H)=10.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 5.55 \text{ (dm},$ $3J(H,H) = 10.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$), 5.84 (dm, $3J(H,H) = 10.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$); $13 \text{ C} \text{ NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25^oC, TMS) major isomer: $\delta = 21.7, 23.0, 25.3, 29.6,$ 31.7, 41.6, 63.0, 75.4, 126.8, 129.9; minor isomer: $\delta = 21.4$, 23.8, 25.7, 29.7, 30.9, 41.7, 63.0, 74.6, 128.3, 130.4; IR(neat): $\tilde{v} = 3330, 3024, 2930, 2864,$ 2845, 1435, 1055, 1011, 970 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{10}H_{18}O_2$: 170.1307, found: 170.1279 (3) $[M^+]$, 152 (24), 137 (100).

1-(2-Methylenecyclohexyl)butane-1,4-diol $(4 \text{ f}):$ 1 H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz},$ CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): a mixture in a ratio of 5:1; δ = 1.38–1.56 (m, 4H), 1.60–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.82 (m, 3H), 1.88 (m, 1H), 2.13–2.20 (m, 2H), 2.17 (s, 1H), 2.78 (m, 1H), 3.66–3.73 (m, 2H), 3.82 (tm, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{8.2 HZ}$, 1H), 4.67 (s, 1H, minor), 4.74 (s, 1H, minor), 4.78 (m, 1H), 4.88 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C, TMS): major isomer: $\delta = 22.3$, 28.0, 29.0, 30.8, 32.9, 49.9, 63.0, 69.1, 110.4, 149.4; minor isomer: d=22.9, 28.4, 30.8, 32.4, 34.4, 49.6, 63.0, 70.2, 108.0, 150.3; IR(neat): $\tilde{v} = 3344$, 2932, 2856, 1447, 1055, 1007, 889 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{11}H_{20}O_2$: 184.1463, found: 184.1421 (3) $[M^+]$, 166 (58), 151(100).

5-Vinyl-6-heptene-1,4-diol (4g): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 1.47$ (m, 1H), 1.62–1.75 (m, 3H), 2.81 (brdt, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.9}$ 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (brs, 2H), 3.54–3.70 (m, 3H), 5.12 (dm, $3J(H,H)$ = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dm, $3J(H,H) = 10.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dm, $3J(H,H) =$ 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dm, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (ddd, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 17.2, 10.6, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (ddd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ =17.2, 10.3, 8.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 29.3, 31.3, 54.9, 62.7, 73.3, 116.8, 117.3, 137.1, 137.6; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3332$, 3078, 2941, 2873, 1633, 1417, 1055, 999, 916 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₉H₁₆O₂: 156.1150, found: 156.1135 (11) $[M^+]$, 138 (100).

Intermolecular allylation of aldehydes with $1g$: Allyl ether $1g$ (170.2 mg, 1.0 mmol), aldehyde (3.0 mmol), and diethylzinc (3.6 mL, 1.0m hexane) were added successively via syringe at 0° C under N₂ to a solution of Pd- $(OAc)_2$ (22.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) and nBu_3P (80.9 mg, 0.4 mmol) in dry toluene (0.5 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to 25° C and stirred at the same temperature. The mixture was diluted with AcOEt and washed with 0.2 M HCl, sat. NaHCO₃, and brine. The organic phase was dried $(MgSO_4)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:7).Compound 5 a was identified by comparison of the spectral data with those in literature.[4b]

 (Z) ,anti-4-Methyl-1-phenyl-5-hepten-3-ol $(5b)$: $\mathrm{^{1}H}$ NMR $(400 \text{ MHz},$ CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): $\delta = 0.95$ (d, ³J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.65 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 7.0$, 1.8 Hz, 3H), 1.67–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.85 (ddq, $3J(H,H) = 10.3$, 9.9, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dquint, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 10.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 13.6, 9.9, 6.6 Hz, 1 H$, 2.86 (dq, $3J(H,H) = 13.6, 5.1 Hz, 1 H$), 3.36 (dt, $3J(H,H) = 2.9$, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (ddq, $3J(H,H) = 11.0$, 10.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (dq, $3J(H,H)$ =11.0, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.31 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 13.3, 16.9, 32.2, 36.0, 37.7, 74.7, 125.7, 126.2, 128.3, 128.4, 132.8, 154.5; IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu} = 3418, 3026$, 2930, 2870, 1497, 1454, 1036, 968, 700 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): *m*/z (%): calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O: 204.1514, found: 204.1532(3) [M⁺], 135 (9), 69 (100).

1-(5-Hydroxymethyl-2,2-dimethyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-3-buten-1-ol (7 a): a mixture in a ratio of 1:1, isomer 1: 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): d=1.38 (s, 3H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 2.29–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.82–2.92 (m, 2H), 3.78–3.99 (m, 3H), 4.11 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{6.9}$ = 6.9, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (dt, $3J(H,H) = 6.9, 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 10.2, 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.16 $(dd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=17.3, 1.4 Hz, 1 H$), 5.86 $(ddt, {}^{3}J(H,H)=17.3, 10.2, 7.1 Hz$ 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 25.02, 27.24, 39.58,$ 61.26, 68.58, 77.30, 78.39, 108.36, 118.05, 134.28; isomer 2: ¹ H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 25 \text{ °C}, \text{ TMS})$: $\delta = 1.36$ (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 2.21 (dt, $3J(H,H)$ = 14.3, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.60–2.66 (m, 2H), 2.80 (brs, 1H), 3.76 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 11.7, 7.0, 5.1, 1H$, 3.81–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.99 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 9.2$, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (dt, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 8.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 14.7, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.21 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 11.7, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.86 (dddd, $3J(H,H)$ = 14.7, 11.7, 8.4, 6.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): d=25.34, 27.95, 38.84, 61.03, 68.54, 77.41, 79.36, 108.39, 119.21, 133.93;

IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3396, 3078, 2986, 2937, 2359, 2341, 1643, 1456, 1380, 1246,$ 1217, 1167, 1042, 918, 874, 797 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{10}H_{19}O_4$: 203.1302, found: 203.1283 (3) $[M^+]$, 188 (10), 187 (100), 171 (23), 169 (1).

1-(5-Hydroxymethyl-2,2-dimethyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-2-methyl-3-buten-1 ol (7b): a mixture in a ratio of 2:2:1:1; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): an isomer corresponding to $\frac{2}{6}$: $\delta = 1.16$ (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{=}$ 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 2.57 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (m, 1H), 2.73 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.68–3.89 (m, 3H), 4.07 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 9.9, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (ddd, $3J(H,H)=7.7, 5.5, 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 17.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 10.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.89 $(\text{ddd}, \ {}^{3}J(H,H)=17.2, \ 10.6, \ 7.7 \ \text{Hz} \ 1H); \ {}^{13}C \text{ NMR} \ (100 \text{ MHz}, \ \text{CDCl}_3,$ 25°C, TMS): $\delta = 16.66, 25.38, 28.02, 39.66, 61.14, 72.63, 77.41, 77.84,$ 108.32, 117.19, 138.05; an isomer corresponding to $\frac{2}{6}$: ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3, 25^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{ TMS})$: $\delta = 1.07 \text{ (d, }^{3}J(\text{H,H}) = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{ H}), 1.36$ $(s, 3H)$, 1.42 $(s, 3H)$, 2.38 $(d, {}^{3}J(H,H)=2.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.66 $(m, 1H)$, 2.88 $(dd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=7.3, 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 3.68-3.89 \text{ (m, 3H)}, 4.12 \text{ (dd, }^{3}J(H,H)=$ 9.2, 5.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.33 (dt, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{7.3}$ = 7.3, 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.16 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{7.4}$ = 17.2, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (dd, $3J(H,H)=10.6$, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.92 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 17.2$, 10.6, 6.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): d=10.90, 25.38, 28.02, 38.77, 61.03, 71.15, 76.83, 77.41, 108.32, 115.83, 140.97; an isomer corresponding to $\frac{1}{6}$: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 1.10 (d, ³J(H,H) = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.51 $(s, 3H)$, 2.41 (m, 1H), 2.48–2.80 (m, 2H), 3.57 (brs, 1H), 3.75–3.80 (m, 2H), 4.17–4.24 (m, 2H), 5.11 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 16.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, $3J(H,H) = 9.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H$, 5.87 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 16.1, 9.9, 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H$); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 16.62, 25.22, 27.36, 42.22, 61.50, 71.93, 76.52, 77.61, 108.36, 116.02, 139.99; an isomer corresponding to $\frac{1}{6}$: $\frac{1}{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.12 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{2}$ 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 2.41 (m, 1H), 2.48–2.80 (m, 2H), 3.48 (brs, 1H), 3.75–3.80 (m, 2H), 4.20 (m, 1H), 4.28 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{7.0}$ 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 10.3$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, $3J(H,H) =$ 16.9 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 16.9$, 10.3, 8.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 16.54$, 24.91, 27.17, 43.24, 61.50, 71.93, 76.21, 77.22, 108.12, 115.83, 140.70; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3385$, 3076, 2985, 2359, 2341, 1638, 1458, 1371, 1220, 1168, 1008, 918, 885, 796 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₁H₂₁O₄: 217.1471, found: 217.1440 (13) [M⁺], 216 (2), 199 (2), 186 (16), 185 (100), 183 (5).

2-tert-Butyl-1-(5-(hydroxymethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-3-

buten-1-ol (7 c): a mixture of isomers in a ratio of $10:2:2:1$; major isomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 0.99 (s, 9H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 2.09 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 10.3$, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (brs, 1H), 2.98 $(brs, 1H), 3.71-3.78$ $(brs, 2H), 3.82$ $(dt, \frac{3J(H,H)}{1.5}, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.16$ $(dt, \frac{3J(H,H)}{3}) = 7.0, 5.1 Hz, 1H$, 4.34 $(dt, \frac{3J(H,H)}{3}) = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H$, 5.07 $(dd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=17.0, 2.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 5.14 (dd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=10.3, 2.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}),$ 5.61 (dt, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 17.0, 10.3 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): d=24.91, 27.24, 29.15, 32.62, 59.16, 61.65, 68.89, 76.71, 77.80, 107.89, 118.44, 137.04; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3406$, 3074, 2954, 2908, 2872, 1639, 1467, 1419, 1381, 1369, 1244, 1217, 1163, 1105, 1072, 1040, 980, 916, 874, 862, 800 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₄H₂₆O₄ (258.4): C 65.09, H, 10.14; found: C 65.30, H 10.29.

(2R,3S,4R,5R)-1-(5-Hydroxymethyl-2,2-dimethyl-[1,3]dioxolan-4-yl)-2-

phenyl-3-buten-1-ol (7**d**): m.p. $91.0-92.0$ (Et₂O/hexane); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS) δ = 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 2.48 (brs, 1H), 2.83 (brs, 1H), 3.62 (dd, $3J(H,H)=9.2$, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.78–3.84 (m, 2H), 4.02 (m, 1H), 4.20 (dt, $3J(H,H)=6.8$, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 6.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 9.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 16.9, 1.5 Hz, 1 H$, 6.03 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 16.9, 9.5, 9.2 Hz, 1 H$), 7.21–7.36 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 25.34$, 27.95, 55.27, 61.50, 71.03, 75.70, 77.57, 108.23, 117.62, 128.28, 128.83, 137.97, 140.70; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3330, 2989, 2893, 2345, 1633, 1602, 1454,$ 1379, 1261, 1211, 1164, 1123, 1043, 1022, 1005, 931, 899, 844, 700, 628 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₆H₂₃O₄: 278.1520, found: 278.1518 (3) [M ⁺], 263.13 (100), 262.15 (3), 260.14 (8), 247.13 (2), 220.132 (3).

(2R,3R,4R,5S,6R)-1,3,4,5-Tetrabenzyloxy-8-nonene-2,6-diol (9 a): a mixture in a ratio of 12:1, major isomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25°C, TMS): δ = 2.11 (dtd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 14.3, 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.21–2.29 (m, 2H),

2.92 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.59–3.65 (m, 3H), 3.70 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 7.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 7.0, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (br s, 1H), 4.05 $(dd, \, {}^3J(H,H)=7.7, 2.9 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 4.51 \text{ (dd, }^3J(H,H)=11.4, 2.9 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}),$ 4.52–4.53 (m, 3H), 4.59 (dd, $3J(H,H)=11.4$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 11.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 11.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.00 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 17.2$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.01 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 10.3$, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (ddt, $3J(H,H) = 17.2$, 10.3, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.36 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 38.84, 70.64, 71.34, 73.05, 73.52, 74.81, 75.00, 77.49, 79.44, 80.45, 117.46, 127.78, 127.96, 128.11, 128.31, 128.40, 134.94, 137.94, 138.15, 138.32; minor isomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 2.22 (dt, ³J(H,H) = 14.3, 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.37 (m, 1 H), 2.90 (d, $3J(H,H) = 4.4$ Hz, 1 H), 3.62 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 4.4 Hz, 2H), 3.62–3.65 (m, 1H), 3.85 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 6.6, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (m, 1H), 3.94 (t, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{4}$ =4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (m, 1H), 4.50 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 4.58 (d, $3J(H,H) = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 4.63 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, $3J-H$ $(H,H)=11.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.05–5.08 (brs, 2H), 5.82 (dddd, $3J(H,H)=17.2$, 9.9, 7.7, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.37 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 37.98$, 71.11, 71.26, 71.26, 73.50, 73.99, 77.45, 78.78, 79.48, 117.35, 127.79, 128.05, 128.20, 128.48, 135.13, 137.62, 137.94, 138.09; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3445$, 3062, 3030, 3007, 2864, 1952, 1871, 1811, 1641, 1607, 1585, 1497, 1454, 1209, 1001, 916, 819, 698 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{37}H_{42}O_6$: 582.2981, found: 582.2966 (13) [M⁺], 492.2517 (40), 491.2459 (100).

(2R,3R,4R,5S,6R,7R)-1,3,4,5-Tetrabenzyloxy-7-phenyl-8-nonene-2,6-diol (9b): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 2.09 (d, ³J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.93 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.2 \text{ Hz}} = 5.2 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H), 3.53 (dt, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.2 \text{ Hz}} = 10.2$, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (d, $3J(H,H) = 4.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.72 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 6.6$, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (t, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{8.0 \text{ Hz}}$, 1H), 4.02–4.12 (m, 2H), 4.14 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 8.0$, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 11.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 12.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, $3J(H,H) = 12.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, $3J$ $(H,H) = 11.3$ Hz, 1 H), 4.78 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1} = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H), 4.91 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1} =$ 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.90 (d, $3J(H,H) = 16.8$ Hz, 1 H), 4.98 (d, $3J(H,H) = 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.76 (dt, $3J(H,H) = 16.8$, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12–7.38 (m, 25H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 54.3, 70.5, 71.3, 72.8, 73.3, 73.4, 74.5, 74.7, 77.8, 78.0, 79.3, 116.9, 126.5, 127.5, 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 137.8, 137.9, 138.0, 138.4, 138.5, 141.2; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3447, 3062, 3030, 2866, 2361, 2341, 1716, 1600, 1585,$ 1497, 1454, 1361, 1261, 1211, 1070, 1028, 918, 734, 698, 667 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₄₃H₄₆O₆: 658.3294, found: 658.3357 (7) [M⁺], 568 (33), 538 (44), 537 (100), 524 (41).

(2R,3R,4R,5R,6S)-1,3,4,5-Tetrakis(benzyloxy)-8-nonene-2,6-diol [(6S)- **11**]: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 2.30 (dt, ³J(H,H) = 13.9, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.37 (dt, $3J(H,H) = 13.9$, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.69 (d, $3J$ $(H,H)=5.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.97 (d, $3J(H,H)=6.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, $3J(H,H)=$ 5.6, 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (m, 1 H), 3.68 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{4}$ = 4.0, 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.78 $(dd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=4.0, 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 3.95-4.06 \text{ (m, 3H)}, 4.49-4.59 \text{ (m, 4H)},$ 4.61 (brs, 2H), 4.75 (brs, 2H), 5.03 (brd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ =14.1 Hz, 1H), 5.05 $(\text{br d}, \, \text{3}J(H,H)=10.5 \text{ Hz}, \, 1 \text{ H}), \, 5.78 \text{ (br ddt, } \text{3}J(H,H)=10.5, \, 14.1, \, 7.0 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 7.23–7.35 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 38.9, 70.4, 70.6, 71.1, 73.1, 73.3, 73.4, 74.6, 78.6, 79.4, 79.7, 117.2, 127.5, 127.6, 127.7, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 135.0, 137.7, 137.8, 137.9, 138.1; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3464$ (s), 2870 (s), 1096 (s), 741 cm⁻¹ (s); HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{37}H_{42}O_6$: 582.2981, found: 582.2987 (2) [M⁺], 491 (100), 433 (71).

(2R,3S)-1-Triphenylmethoxy-7-octene-2,3,5-triol (13 a): a mixture in a ratio of 1:1, isomer 1: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 1.52$ $(\text{ddd}, \, ^3J(H,H)=14.3, \, 8.2, \, 4.9 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1H), \, 1.63 \, (\text{ddd}, \, ^3J(H,H)=14.3, \, 6.6,$ 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.13–2.32 (m, 3 H), 2.69 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{=}$ 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.88 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 9.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 9.6, 3.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dquint, $3J(H,H) = 5.5, 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.88– 4.00 (m, 2H), 5.12 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 15.9$, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dd, $3J(H,H) =$ 11.3, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.79 (dddd, $3J(H,H)$ = 15.9, 11.8, 9.9, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.22– 7.45 (m, 15H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 38.02, 42.02, 64.65, 68.05, 70.39, 72.85, 87.05, 118.16, 126.99, 127.73, 128.36, 134.21, 143.35; isomer 2: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.40 (dt, $3J(H,H) = 14.3, 9.9 Hz, 1 H$, 1.62 (dt, $3J(H,H) = 14.3, 2.5 Hz, 1 H$), 2.16

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

 $(dt, \frac{3J(H,H)}{1} = 14.3, 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 2.22 (dt, \frac{3J(H,H)}{1} = 14.3, 5.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}),$ 2.76 (brs, 1H), 2.91 (brs, 1H), 3.32 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 3.45 (brs, 1H), 3.67 (quint, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.82–3.96 (m, 2H), 5.10 (d, $3J(H,H) = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, $3J(H,H) = 10.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.76 (dddd, $3J(H,H) = 16.8$, 10.4, 7.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.45 (m, 15H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC}$ ₃, 25° C, TMS): $\delta = 37.67, 42.39, 64.31, 71.06, 72.84, 73.47,$ 87.01, 118.12, 126.97, 127.71, 128.36, 133.94, 143.36; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3363$, 3060, 2881, 2341, 1965, 1645, 1596, 1488, 1446, 1418, 1219, 1184, 1001, 900, 748, 705, 633 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₂₇H₃₀O₄ 418.2144, found: 418.2149 (56) $[M^+]$, 400 (19), 380 (25), 359 (19), 358 (69), 341 (100).

 $(2R,3S)$ -6-Phenyl-1-triphenylmethoxy-7-octene-2,3,5-triol $(13b)$: a mixture in a ratio of 3:2:1:1, an isomer corresponding to $\frac{3}{7}$: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 1.45 (m, 1H), 2.18 (m, 1H), 2.35 $(brs, 1H)$, 2.66 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{4}$ = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{4}$ = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (m, 1H), 3.11 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{9} = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.12 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{9} = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.63 (quint, ³J(H,H) = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (m, 1H), 4.15 (m, 1H), 5.19 $(d, {}^{3}J(H,H)=17.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.20 $(d, {}^{3}J(H,H)=10.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.06 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 17.9$, 10.2, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.12–7.45 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3, 25 \text{ °C}, \text{ TMS})$: $\delta = 35.91, 57.62, 64.39, 72.63, 73.27,$ 74.34, 86.93, 117.86, 126.90, 127.66, 128.34, 137.52, 140.71, 143.42; an isomer corresponding to $\frac{2}{7}$: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.53 (m, 1H), 1.82 (dm, ³J(H,H) = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (br s, 1H), 2.94 $(d, {}^{3}J(H,H)=6.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 3.16-3.32 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 3.40 \text{ (dd, }^{3}J(H,H)=9.6,$ 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (d, $3J(H,H) = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.12 (d, $3J(H,H) = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.68 (m, 1H), 3.94 (m, 1H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 5.08 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{2}$ 11.5 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{16.6}$ = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (ddd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{16.6}$ = 17.2, 11.5, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.12-7.44 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C, TMS): $\delta = 36.32, 57.34, 64.85, 72.88, 73.23, 74.29, 87.01, 116.91,$ 126.59, 127.74, 128.54, 137.84, 140.33, 143.39; an isomer corresponding to ¹/₇: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.38 (dt, ³J(H,H) = 14.8, 9.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.53 (d, $3J(H,H) = 14.8$ Hz, 1 H), 2.66 (d, $3J(H,H) = 4.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.86 (brs, 1H), 3.21 (t, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{9}$ = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{9}$ = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 3.50 (d, $3J(H,H) = 3.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dq, $3J(H,H) = 4.1$, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (m, 1H), 4.04 (t, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{9} = 9.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 16.8$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 10.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.11 $(\text{ddd}, {}^{3}J(H,H)=16.8, 10.2, 9.4 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.12-7.44 \text{ (m, 20 H)}; {}^{13}C NMR$ $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3, 25 \text{ °C}, \text{ TMS}): \delta = 35.91, 57.75, 64.37, 72.65, 73.27,$ 74.32, 86.93, 117.11, 126.91, 127.67, 128.34, 137.52, 140.71, 143.42; an isomer corresponding to $\frac{1}{7}$: $\frac{1}{1}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.39 (dt, ³J(H,H) = 15.3, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (d, ³J(H,H) = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (brs, 1H), 2.70 (d, $3J(H,H) = 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.23 (t, $3J(H,H) = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.2 \text{ Hz}}$, 2H), 3.55 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{2.5 \text{ Hz}}$, 1H), 3.66 $(dq, {}^{3}J(H,H)=4.4, 5.5 Hz, 1 H)$, 3.92 (m, 1H), 4.04 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H)=9.4 Hz$, 1H), 5.10 (d, $3J(H,H) = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.96 (ddd, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 16.8$, 11.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.12-7.44 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 35.91, 57.60, 64.44, 72.80, 73.37, 74.65, 86.95, 117.86, 126.93, 127.69, 128.37, 137.71, 140.05, 143.44; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3412, 3059, 3032, 2928, 2876, 2247, 1734, 1597, 1491, 1448,$ 1421, 1223, 1184, 1076, 991, 949, 910, 849, 764, 733, 706, 648, 633 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{27}H_{29}O_4$: 417.2070, found: 417.2039 (7) $[M^+$ -Ph], 376 (5), 359 (4), 299 (14), 260 (39), 259 (100), 258 (50).

4-(2-Hydroxy-4-pentenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-5-ol (15 a): a mixture in a ratio of 1:1, isomer 1: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.65 (ddd, ³ J(H,H)=14.3, 9.6, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.81 $(ddd, \frac{3}{J}(H,H)=14.3, 8.5, 2.8 Hz, 1 H$, 2.16–2.39 (m, 3H), 3.57–3.72 (m, 2H), 3.88 (brs, 1H), 4.23 (dt, $3J(H,H)=6.3$, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 8.5, 6.3, 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.15 (d, $3J(H,H) = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, $3J(H,H) = 10.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.81 (dddd, $3J(H,H) = 15.7, 10.7, 8.0, 6.6$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 25.42, 28.09, 35.46, 42.51, 61.58, 68.12, 74.29, 77.79, 107.72, 118.41, 134.13; isomer 2: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.66–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.95 (brs, 1H), 2.25–2.32 (m, 2H), 3.14 (brs, 1H), 3.64 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 5.6, 5.2 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (quint, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dt, $\frac{3}{J}(H,H)$ = 6.3, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (dt, $\frac{3}{J}(H,H)$ = 6.9, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, $3J(H,H) = 10.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, $3J(H,H) = 17.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.83 $(ddt, \ {}^{3}J(H,H)=17.3, \ 10.4, \ 7.2 \ Hz, \ 1H); \ {}^{13}C NMR \ (100 MHz, \ CDCl₃,$ 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 25.4, 28.0, 35.1, 41.7, 61.5, 70.6, 76.6, 77.9, 108.6, 117.6, 134.4; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3408, 2986, 2936, 1641, 1456, 1371, 1218, 1167, 1043,$

999, 918, 827 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z (%): calcd for C₁₀H₁₇O₄: 201.1127, found: 201.1130 (100) $[M^+$ -Me], 185 (60), 157 (90).

4-(2-Hydroxy-3-phenyl-4-pentenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-5-ol (15 b): a mixture in a ratio of 2:2:2:1, an isomer corresponding to $\frac{2}{7}$: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25°C, TMS) $\delta = 1.42$ (s, 3H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.66 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 14.4, 10.5, 5.6 Hz, 1 H$, 1.77 (brs, 1H), 1.94 (brs, 1H), 2.13 $(\text{ddd}, \, ^3J(H,H)=14.4, \, 7.6, \, 2.2 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 3.21 \, (\text{t}, \, ^3J(H,H)=8.1 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}),$ 3.54–3.70 (brs, 2H), 4.05 (brs, 1H), 4.17 (q, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.8 \text{ Hz}}$, 1H), 4.50 $(dt, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.6, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (dd, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 10.2, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.08$ $(dd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=17.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (ddd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=17.1, 10.2, 1.7 Hz,$ 1H), 7.07–7.47 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 25[°]C, TMS); δ = 25.73, 28.46, 34.43, 58.28, 61.84, 71.92, 75.25, 78.41, 107.43, 116.65, 126.98, 128.86, 128.95, 138.94, 141.19; an isomer corresponding to $\frac{2}{7}$: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 1.39$ (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.71 (ddd, $3J(H,H)$ = 14.2, 10.2, 5.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.83 (ddd, $3J(H,H)$ = 14.4, 7.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (brs, 1H), 2.04 (brs, 1H), 3.19 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{8.6}$, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.52–3.67 (brs, 2H), 3.98 (brs, 1H), 4.19 (q, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.9}$ Hz, 1H), 4.48 $(dt, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.6, 5.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.09 (dd, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 17.0, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.13$ $(dd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=10.2, 1.7 Hz, 1 H$), 6.10 $(ddd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=17.0, 10.2, 8.6 Hz$, 1H), 7.08–7.47 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 25.79, 28.44, 34.44, 58.01, 61.76, 71.92, 75.29, 78.44, 107.43, 117.56, 126.85, 128.43, 128.84, 138.40, 141.82; an isomer corresponding to $\frac{2}{7}$: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 1.15$ (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.36 (brs, 1H), 1.42 (ddd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ =13.7, 4.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (m, 1H), 2.85 (s, 1H), 3.20–3.36 (m, 2H), 3.32 (t, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{5.8 \text{ Hz}} = 5.8 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 3.87 (q, $3J(H,H)$ = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (m, 1H), 4.12 (dt, $3J(H,H)$ = 8.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 10.2$, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 17.0$, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.11 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 17.0$, 10.2, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.05–7.28 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 25°C, TMS): δ = 25.41, 27.98, 33.72, 57.19, 61.47, 73.83, 76.64, 78.20, 108.15, 116.23, 126.68, 128.33, 129.15, 139.37, 141.33; an isomer corresponding to $\frac{1}{7}$: $\frac{1}{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 25^oC, TMS): $\delta = 1.14$ (s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 3H), 1.58–1.78 (m, 3H), 3.03 (s, 1H), 3.25 $(dd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=6.6, 5.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.79 (q, {}^{3}J(H,H)=6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.03 (m,$ 1H), 5.10 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 17.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 10.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (ddd, $3J(H,H)=17.0$, 10.4, 8.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ C}_6\text{D}_6, 25 \text{ °C}, \text{TMS})$: $\delta = 34.17, 57.14, 61.41, 73.92, 76.72, 78.20,$ 116.70, 128.59, 128.74, 138.81, 142.21; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3418$, 2984, 2934, 2876, 1637, 1601, 1495, 1454, 1371, 1246, 1219, 1165, 1059, 1003, 920, 845, 762, 704 cm⁻¹; HRMS: m/z (%): calcd for C₁₆H₂₁O₄: 277.1440, found: 277.1433 (18) [M⁺-Me], 292 (1), 278 (3), 203 (8), 185 (9), 175 (8), 157 (100).

General Procedure for the self-allylation of w-formyl allyl ether 21: Compound 21 (1.0 mmol) and diethylzinc (2.4 mmol, 1.0m hexane) were added successively via syringe at 0° C under N₂ to a solution of Pd(OAc)₂ (22.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) and nBu_3P (80.9 mg, 0.4 mmol) in dry toluene (0.5 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred for 3 h. The mixture was diluted with AcOEt and then washed with 0.2 m HCl, sat. NaHCO₃, and brine, and the organic phase was dried $(MgSO_4)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:10) to give 22 a in 95% yield or 22 b in 85% yield.

1-(o **-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-buten-1-ol** (22a): 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 2.61$ (t, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{6.9} = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 2.99 (brs, 2H), 4.65 (d, $3J(H,H) = 12.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, 4.73 \text{ (d, } 3J(H,H) = 12.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, 4.97 \text{ (t,$ $3J(H,H) = 6.9$ Hz, 1 H), 5.16 (d, $3J(H,H) = 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, $3J(H,H) = 17.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.87 (ddt, $3J(H,H) = 17.0$, 10.2, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.24– 7.46 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 41.9$, 63.5, 70.4, 118.2, 126.5, 127.8, 128.3, 129.6, 134.6, 137.9, 141.6; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} =$ 3333, 3071, 2916, 1643, 1450, 1319, 1211, 1003, 918, 871, 764 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₁H₁₄O₂: 178.0994, found: 178.0936 (3) [M⁺], 177 (11), 160 (100).

Tridec-12-ene-1,10-diol (22b): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): δ = 1.23–1.68 (m, 16H), 1.69–1.98 (brs, 2H), 2.15 (ddd, ³J(H,H) = 13.7, 7.4, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (dt, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 13.7, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (m, 1H), 3.64 $(t, \frac{3J(H,H)}{8}) = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}$, 5.12 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{8} = 15.8, 1.4 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$), 5.13 $(dqm, {}^{3}J(H,H)=11.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (ddd, {}^{3}J(H,H)=15.8, 11.4, 6.8,$ 5,9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 25.6$, 25.7, 29.2, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 32.8, 36.8, 41.9, 62.9, 70.6, 117.9, 134.8; IR(neat): $\tilde{v} =$

3333, 3078, 2924, 2855, 2361, 1712, 1643, 1458, 1365, 1265, 1057, 910, 725 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₃H₂₆O₂: 214.1933, found: 214.1858 (20) $[M^+]$, 213 (100), 196 (9), 184 (6).

Structure determination

Conversion of 2b to a cyclic acetal, trans-2,2-dimethyl(4-(4-hydroxybutyl))-5-methyl-1,3-dioxacyclohexane (16): i) A solution of $2b$ (59 mg, 0.37 mmol, anti/syn 5:1) in dichloromethane (13 mL) was cooled to -78 °C, and ozone was bubbled through until a blue color appeared (ca. 5 min). The excess of ozone was removed by a flow of $O₂$. The mixture was allowed to warm to 0°C. To this solution was added a solution of NaBH₄ (238.2 mg, 6.3 mmol) in MeOH/H₂O (4 mL, 1:1) and then the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 12 h. The mixture was diluted with AcOEt and washed with 2_M HCl and sat. NaHCO₃. The organic phase was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give an oil.

ii) A solution of the oil and p-TsOH (8 mg, 0.04 mmol) in 2,2-dimethoxypropane (5 mL) was stirred at ambient room temperature for 3 h. The mixture was diluted with AcOEt (50 mL) and washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and brine. The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:7) to give 16 (trans/cis 8:1; 22 mg, in 30% overall yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): $\delta = 0.74$ (d, $3J(H,H) = 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 1.05 (d, $3J(H,H) = 7.1$ Hz, 1H, cis), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H, cis), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H, cis), 1.34–1.71 (m, 7H), 3.44 $(\text{ddd}, {}^{3}J(H,H)=10.2, 7.9, 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 3.48 \text{ (t, } {}^{3}J(H,H)=11.7 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}),$ 3.57 (dd, $\frac{3}{7}$ (H,H) = 11.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H, cis), 3.65 (t, $\frac{3}{7}$ (H,H) = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.66 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 11.7$, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 7.9$, 4.9, 2.7 Hz, 1H, *cis*), 4.08 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ =11.7, 2.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS, trans-16): δ = 12.7, 19.2, 21.2, 29.7, 32.6, $32.7, 34.0, 62.8, 66.1, 74.9, 98.1; cis-16; \delta = 10.6, 19.1, 21.7, 30.9, 31.8, 32.7,$ 33.9, 62.7, 66.9, 71.4, 98.1; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3410$, 2939, 2862, 1651, 1458, 1380, 1265, 1203, 1111, 1065, 910, 864, 802, 756 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{11}H_{22}O_3$: 202.1569: found: 202.1563 (0.1) [M⁺], 188 (12), 187 (100), 173 (1).

trans-2,2-Dimethyl-4-(3-hydroxypropyl)-5-phenyl-1,3-dioxacyclohexane

(17): This compound was obtained in 15% overall yield by using the procedure to obtain 16; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 1.23– 1.65 (m, 4H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 2.29 (br s, 1H), 2.80 (dt, $3J(H,H) = 5.3$, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (tm, $3J(H,H) = 5.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.84 (dd, $3J(H,H)$ = 5.3, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (t, $3J(H,H)$ = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (ddd, $3J(H,H) = 11.5$, 8.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.35 (m, 5H); IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3437$, 3030, 2995, 2941, 2873, 1949, 1454, 1383, 1267, 1201, 1055, 922, 723 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₃: 250.1569, found: 250.1605 (4) $[M⁺]$, 235 (43), 191 (10), 175 (100).

(4S',4aR',8aR')-Hexahydro-4-(4-triphenylmethoxybutyl)-4H-benzo[d]-

[1,3]dioxin-2-one (18): i) A solution of $2g$ (35 mg, 0.18 mmol) in dichloromethane (12 mL) was cooled to -78° C, and ozone was bubbled through until a blue color appeared (ca. 5 min.). The excess of ozone was removed by a flow of O_2 . The mixture was allowed warm to 0° C. To this solution was added a solution of $NabH_4$ (114 mg, 3.0 mmol) in MeOH/ H₂O (2 mL, 1:1) at 0° C and the resultant mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 12 h. The mixture was diluted with AcOEt and washed 2_M HCl and sat. NaHCO₃. The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give an oil.

ii) To a solution of the oil in dichloromethane (2 mL) were added triethylamine (59 mg, 0.59 mmol) and triphenylmethyl chloride (53 mg, 0.19 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at ambient room temperature for 3 h. After dilution with AcOEt (50 mL), the mixture was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil. iii) A solution of the oil and 1,1'-carbonyl-diimidazole (221 mg, 1.36 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL) was stirred at ambient temperature for 48 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was directly subjected to column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane gradient 1:4 \rightarrow 1:1) to give 18 (40 mg, 47% overall yield). ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 27 \text{ °C}, \text{TMS})$: $\delta = 0.94 \text{ (dq}, \frac{3J(\text{H}, \text{H})}{=} 3.7, 11.9 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}),$ 1.21–1.89 (m, 13H), 2.14 (brdd, $3J(H,H)=10.8$, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (t, $3J(H,H) = 10.8$, 4.2 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (dt, $3J(H,H) = 4.4$, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.12 $(\text{ddd}, {}^{3}J(H,H)=2.7, 7.1, 10.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.10-7.45 \text{ (m, 15 H)}; {}^{13}C NMR$

 $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 25^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{TMS})$: $\delta = 20.9, 23.6, 24.4, 26.1, 29.6, 31.0, 32.3,$ 41.3, 63.0, 80.4, 84.0, 86.3, 126.7, 127.6, 128.2, 128.5, 144.2, 149.0; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3031, 2939, 2869, 1751, 1489, 1450, 1373, 1204, 1087, 903, 849,$ 764, 702 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₃₁H₃₄O₄: 470.2457: found: 470.2455 (9) [M ⁺], 409 (19), 408 (57), 394 (27), 393 (100).

(4R,5S,6R,7R,8R)-4-Allyl-5,6,7-tris(benzyloxy)-8-(benzyloxymethyl)-2,2-

dimethyl-1,3-dioxaoctane (19): p-TsOH (6.5 mg, 0.034 mmol) at ambient temperature under N_2 was added to a solution of 9a (80.9 mg, 0.34 mmol) in 2,2-dimethoxypropane (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at reflux for 3 h, diluted with AcOEt, washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:10) to give 19 (155 mg, 73%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 27 °C, TMS): δ = 1.25 $(s, 3H)$, 1.26 $(s, 3H)$, 2.45 $(ddd, \frac{3}{I}(H,H)=15.1, 7.3, 3.9 Hz, 1H)$, 2.79 $(\text{ddd}, {}^{3}J(H,H)=15.1, 10.0, 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 3.51 \text{ (dd, }^{3}J(H,H)=10.0, 1.1 \text{ Hz},$ 1 H), 3.83 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1000}$ = 10.0, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.91 (dd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1000}$ 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (t, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{2}$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (dt, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{2}$ = 10.0, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.21 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 7.8$, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.26 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 7.8$, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.28–4.41 (m, 3H), 4.56 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H$, 4.80 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H$), 4.92 (d, $3J(H,H) = 12.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, $3J(H,H) = 10.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.19 (d, $3J(H,H) = 17.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.02 (ddt, $3J(H,H) = 17.1$, 10.0, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.04–7.35 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ C}_6\text{D}_6, 25^{\circ}\text{C}, \text{ TMS})$: $\delta = 24.5, 26.3, 35.1, 71.2, 71.9, 72.0, 73.3,$ 74.2, 74.5, 74.7, 74.8, 77.5, 80.9, 81.4, 100.4, 116.0, 127.1, 127.2, 127.3, 127.4, 127.5, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 136.6, 138.9, 139.1, 139.2, 139.4, 139.5; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3063$, 3030, 2990, 2864, 2360, 1641, 1497, 1454, 1381, 1327, 1207, 1074, 1028, 999, 912, 827, 734, 698 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{40}H_{46}O_6$: 622.3294, found: 622.3290 (8) $[M^+]$, 581 (10), 564 (10), 552 (5), 532 (39), 531 (100).

5,6,7-Tris(benzyloxy)-4-benzyloxymethyl-2,2-dimethyl-8-(1-phenylallyl)-

1,3-dioxaoctane: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS): $\delta = 0.68$ (s, 3H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 3.44 (dd, $3J(H,H)=10.4$, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (t, $3J$ $(H,H)=9.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dd, $3J(H,H)=10.4$, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 8.8$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (d, $3J(H,H) = 7.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 7.1$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (d, $3J(H,H) = 9.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 12.2, 1H), 4.40–4.49 (m, 3H), 4.43 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{8.8 \text{ Hz}} = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$), 4.55 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{8.2 \text{ Hz}} = 12.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$), 4.58 (d, $3J(H,H) = 12.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$), 4.70 (d, $3J(H,H) = 11.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$), 4.84 (dd, $3J$ (H,H) = 17.1, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.93 (dd, ³J(H,H) = 9.9, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.93 (dt, $3J(H,H)$ = 17.1, 9.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.11–7.34 (m, 25 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 23.7, 26.4, 53.3, 71.1, 71.2, 72.0, 72.3, 73.3, 76.0, 77.3, 78.3, 100.4, 116.7, 126.0, 127.3, 127.4, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.7, 129.0, 138.3, 138.4, 138.5, 138.6, 138.8, 143.0; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 3032, 2862, 2360, 1497, 1458, 1380, 1211, 1072, 918,$ 864, 810, 740, 702 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for C₄₆H₅₀O₆: 698.3607, found: 698.3558 (15) [M ⁺], 699 (100).

(4S,5R,6R,7R,8R)-4-Allyl-5,6,7-tris(benzyloxy)-8-(benzyloxymethyl)-1,3 dioxaoctan-2-one (20): THF (5 mL) via a syringe was added to a N_2 purged two-necked round bottom flask containing $(6S)$ -11 (140 mg, 0.24 mmol) and 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (60 mg, 0.36 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and then refluxed for 24 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL), washed with sat. NaCl (20 mL). The organic phase was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate 4:1) to give a semicarbamate (81 mg, 50%) at the C2-OH (R_f =0.15, hexane/AcOEt 2:1). Into a N₂ purged two-necked round bottom flask containing the carbamate (0.12 mmol) and NaH (50% dispersion in mineral oil; 10 mg, 0.2 mmol), dry dioxane (3 mL) was introduced via a syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 6 h. The reaction mixture was diluted $Et₂O$ (20 mL) and washed with sat. NH₄Cl (10 mL), and sat. NaCl (10 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/hexane 1:8) to give a cyclic carbonate 20 (85 mg, 58%; $R_f = 0.60$, hexane/AcOEt 2:1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, TMS) δ = 2.45 $(\text{br} \, \text{dt}, \, \, \frac{3J(H,H)}{3})(H,H) = 14.2, \, 7.0 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1H), \, 2.61 \, (\text{br} \, \text{dt}, \, \frac{3J(H,H)}{3})(H,H) = 14.2, \, 7.0 \, \text{Hz},$ 1 H), 3.76 (dd, $3J(H,H) = 4.3$, 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (br d, $3J(H,H) = 11.3$ Hz,

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

1H), 3.90 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (d, $3J(H,H)$ = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.33 $(d, {}^{3}J(H,H)=10.0$ Hz, 1 H), 4.40–4.54 (m, 4 H), 4.57 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H)=12.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.69 (brd, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 5.00 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (d, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (t, $\frac{3J(H,H)}{1}$ = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.28 $(\text{br dd}, \frac{3J(H,H)}{4}) = 4.3, 10.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$), 5.70 $(\text{ddt}, \frac{3J(H,H)}{4}) = 10.0, 16.0, 7.0 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 7.14–7.34 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ 25[°]C, TMS): δ = 36.1, 69.0, 72.3, 73.0, 73.1, 73.2, 74.8, 75.0, 75.7, 118.1, 126.8, 127.1, 127.2, 127.3, 127.4, 127.5, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 133.1, 137.8, 138.0, 138.6, 154.3; IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 1744$ (s), 1258 (s), 1096 (s), 733 cm⁻¹ (s); HRMS (EI): m/z (%): calcd for $C_{38}H_{40}O_7$: 608.2774, found: 608.2768 (100) $[M^+]$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mrs. Minoru Tazoe and Yasukazu Shiino for their technical help and Mr. Ohhama, NMR Facility, for his splendid technical assistance. Financial support by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japanese Government (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas), is gratefully acknowledged.

- [1] T. W. Green, P. G. M. Wuts, Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 1999, 3rd ed., Chapter 2.
- [2] a) A. Kamal, E. Laxman, N. V. Rao, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 371 – 372; b) R. M. Thomas, G. S. Reddy, D. S. Iyengar, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7293 – 7294; c) T. Tsuritani, H. Shinokubo, K. Oshima, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 8121 – 8124; d) T. Taniguchi, K. Ogasawara, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 1137-1139; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1136 – 1137.
- [3] a) Y. Tamaru, *Euro. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 2647-2656; b) Y. Tamaru in Handbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis, Vol. 2 (Ed.: E. Negishi), Wiley, New York, 2002, pp. 1917 – 1953; c) R. Yanada, A. Kaieda, Y. Takemoto, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7516 – 7518; d) S. Araki, T. Kamei, T. Hirashita, H. Yamamura, M. Kawai, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 847 – 849; e) J. P. Takahara, Y. Masuyama, Y. Kurusu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1992, 114, 2577-2586; f) M. Moreno-Mañas, A. Trius, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3109-3112, see also ref. [9], [12], and [13].
- [4] Umpolung of allyl benzoates: a) M. Shimizu, M. Kimura, S. Tanaka, Y. Tamaru, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 609-612; b) Y. Tamaru, S. Goto, A. Tanaka, M. Shimizu, M. Kimura, Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 962-963; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 878-880; c) Y. Tamaru, A. Tanaka, K. Yasui, S. Goto, S. Tanaka, Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 862 – 864; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 787 – 789; d) K. Yasui, Y. Goto, T. Yajima, Y. Taniseki, K. Fugami, A. Tanaka, Y. Tamaru, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7619-7622.
- [5] M. Kimura, M. Shimizu, K. Shibata, M. Tazoe, Y. Tamaru, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 3514 – 3517; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3392 – 3395.
- [6] a) Y. Tamaru, Modern Organonickel Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005; b) J. Montgomery, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 3880 – 3898; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3890 – 3908.
- [7] Reductive coupling of alkynes and enones: a) M. V. Chevliakov, J. Montgomery, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11 139 – 11 143; b) M. V. Chevliakov, J. Montgomery, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 3346 – 3348; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3144 – 3146; c) J. Montgomery, E. Oblinger, A. V. Savchenko, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4911 – 4920; reductive coupling of alkynes and aldehydes: d) K. M. Miller, W.-W. Huang, T. F. Jamison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3442 – 3443; e) J. Chan, T. F. Jamison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11 514 – 11 515; f) K. M. Miller, C. Molinaro, T. F. Jamison, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 3619 – 3625; g) M. Lozanov, J. Montgomery, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2106 – 2107; h) E. A. Colby, T. F. Jamison, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 156 – 166; i) W.-S. Huang, J. Chan, T. F. Jamison, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4221 – 4223; j) E. Oblinger, J. Montgomery, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 9065 – 9066; reductive coupling of allenes

and aldehydes: k) J. Montgomery, M. Song, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4009 – 4011. Reductive coupling of alkynes and imines: l) S. J. Pater, T. F. Jamison, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 4031 – 4034; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3941 – 3944; m) S. J. Pater, T. F. Jamison, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 1402 – 1405; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1364 – 1367; reductive coupling of dienes and aldehydes: n) M. Kimura, K. Kojima, T. Inoue, Y. Tamaru, Synthesis 2004, 3089 – 3091; o) M. Kimura, A. Ezoe, S. Tanaka, Y. Tamaru, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 3712 – 3714; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3600 – 3602; p) K. Shibata, M. Kimura, K. Kojima, S. Tanaka, Y. Tamaru, J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 624, 348 – 353; q) K. Shibata, M. Kimura, M. Shimizu, Y. Tamaru, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2181 – 2183; r) M. Kimura, K. Shibata, Y. Koudahashi, Y. Tamaru, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 6789-6793; s) M. Kimura, S. Matsuo, K. Shibata, Y. Tamaru, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 3586 – 3589; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3386 – 3388; t) M. Kimura, H. Fujimatsu, A. Ezoe, K. Shibata, M. Shimizu, S. Matsumoto, Y. Tamaru, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 410 – 413; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 397 – 400; u) M. Kimura, A. Ezoe, K. Shibata, Y. Tamaru, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 4033 – 4034; reductive coupling of alkynes, dienes, and aldehydes: v) M. Kimura, A. Ezoe, M. Mori, Y. Tamaru, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 201 – 209. Reductive coupling of dienes and imines: w) M. Kimura, A. Miyachi, K. Kojima, S. Tanaka, Y. Tamaru, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 14 360 – 14 361.

- [8] Reductive coupling of alkynes and epoxides: a) E. A. Colby, K. C. O'Brien, T. F. Jamison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 998-999; b) K. M. Miller, T. Launphaisarnnont, C. Molinaro, T. F. Jamison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4130-4131; c) C. Molinaro, T. F. Jamison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8076-8077.
- [9] M. Kimura, T. Tomizawa, Y. Horino, S. Tanaka, Y. Tamaru, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3627 – 3629.
- [10] M. Kimura, Y. Horino, R. Mukai, S. Tanaka, Y. Tamaru, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10 401 – 10 402.
- [11] We have encountered similar, unusual differences of diastereoselectivities for the allylation of hexanal with cinnamyl alcohol and α phenylallyl alcohol^[12] and also for the allylation of aldimine with α methylallyl alcohol and crotyl alcohol.[13]
- [12] M. Kimura, M. Shimizu, S. Tanaka, Y. Tamaru, Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 3709 – 3718.
- [13] M. Shimizu, M. Kimura, T. Watanabe, Y. Tamaru, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, $637 - 640.$
- [14] Compound 1g also shows better performance than the corresponding allyl alcohol (3-penten-2-ol), which reacts with benzaldehyde to give (Z) , *anti*-5 exclusively in poorer yield (45%) and fails to react with aliphatic aldehydes, providing intractable mixture of products.^[12]
- [15] Allylation of α -deoxypentoses and α -deoxyhexoses is, in general, non-stereoselective: a) S. Kobayashi, T. Wakabayashi, H. Oyamada, Chem. Lett. 1997, 831 – 832; b) I. Hachiya, S. Kobayashi, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 6958 – 6960; c) W. Schmid, G. M. Whitesides, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6674-6675.
- [16] Allylation of pentoses and hexoses:^[15] a) X.-R. Li, T.-P. Loh, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 1535-1538; b) R. H. Prenner, W. H. Binder, W. Schmid, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1994, 73-78.
- [17] CCDC-195 240 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif/
- [18] E. L. Eliel, S. H. Wilen, L. N. Mander in Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds, Wiley, New York, 1994, pp. 734-737.
- [19] Similar palladium-catalyzed transformation of 21a to 22a under electrochemical reduction conditions: D. Franco, D. Panyella, M. Rocamora, M. Gomez, J. C. Clinet, G. Muller, E. Duñach, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 5685 – 5688.
- [20] a) H.-S. Cheng, T.-P. Loh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4990-4991; b) J. Nokami, K. Nomiyama, S. Matsuda, N. Imai, K. Kataoka, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 1311 – 1314; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1273 – 1276.

Received: April 21, 2005 Published online: August 30, 2005

6642 <www.chemeurj.org> © 2005 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 6629 – 6642